Coldness and Cruelty

GILLES DELEUZE

Foreword

Most of the information on the life of Sacher-Masoch comes to us from his secretary, Schlichtegroll (Sacher-Masoch and der Masochamus) and from his first wife, who took the name of the heroine of Resur in Furt, Wanda (Wanda von Sacher-Masoch. Confessions of Mr Life). Wanda's book is excellent, but it was severely judged by subsequent biographers, who often merely present us with subjective impressions of the work. In their opinion, the image she offers of herself is roo impocent, and they assumed her to be a sadist, since Masoch was a masochist. But this may well be a misstatement of the problem.

Leopold von Sacher-Masoch was born in 1835 in Lemberg, Galicia. He was of Slav, Spanish and Bohemian descent. His ancestors held official positions in the Austro-Hungarian Empire. His father was Chief of Police of Lemberg, and at a child be witnessed prison scenes and riots which were to have a profound effect on him. His work is deeply influenced by the problems of nationalities, minority groups and revolutionary movements in the Empire, hence his Galician, Jewish, Hungarian, Prussian tales, etc. He often describes the organization of agricultural communes and the struggle of the peasants against the Austrian administration and especially against the landowners. He became involved in the Panslavic movement. The men he admired, besides Goethe,

were Pushkin and Lermantov, and he was known himself as the Turgeniev of Little Russia.

He was appointed Professor of History at Graz and began his literary career by writing historical novels. He met with rapid success: one of his first gene novels, The Disoned Woman (1870) aroused interest even in America; in France, Hachette, Calmann-Lévy and Flammarion published translations of his novels and stories. One of his translators was able to present him as a strict moralist who drew his inspiration from history and folklore, withour making the slightest allusion to the erotic character of his works. His fantasins were probably made more acceptable by the fact that they could be attributed to the spirit of the Slav people. We must also take into account the more general explanation that the standards of "censorship" and tolerance of the nineteenth century were very different from our own; diffuse sexuality being more acceptable than specific physical and mental details.

In the language of Masoch's folkfore, history, politics, mysricism, eroticism, nationalism and perversion are closely intermingled, forming a nebula around the scenes of flagellation; he was consequently disturbed when Krafft-Ebing used his name to designate a perversion. Masoch was a famous and himored writer; in 1886 he made a triumphant journey to Paris where he was decorated and entertained by the Figure and the Rerue des Deux Mondes.

Maroch's tastes in matters of love are well known; he enjoyed pretending to be a bear or a bandit or having himself pursued, tied up and subjected to punishments, humiliations and even acute physical pain by an opulent fur-clad woman with a whilp; he was given to dressing up as a servant, making use of all kinds of fetishes and disguises, placing advertisements in newspapers, signing contracts with the women in his life and if need be prostituting them.

An affair with Anna von Kottowitz inspired The Divorced Wamon, another affair, with Fanny von Pistox, Yeous in Furs. Then a young lady by the name of Aurore Rümelin approached him by means of a somewhat ambiguous correspondence, took the pseudonym of Wanda, and married Masoch in 1873. As a companion she was at once docile, demanding and overwhelmed. Masoch was fated to be disappointed as though the masquerades he planned were bound to give rise to misunderstandings. He was always attempting to introduce a third party into his menage, the character he calls "the Greek," During his period with Anna von Kottowitz, a sham Polish count entered their life, revealing himself later to be a chemist's assistant wanted for theft and dangerously ill. Then there is the strange adventure involving Aurore/ Wanda, the hero of which appears to be Ludwig II of Bavaria; the story is included among the appendices to this book. Here again the ambivalence of the characters, the disguises, the parrying of the parties involved turn the whole episode into an extraordinary ballet ending in disappointment. Finally there is the adventure with Armand of the Figure, of which Wanda gives an excellent account, leaving the reader to make his own amendments. This episode was the reason for Matoch's journey to Paris in 1886, and it also marks the end of his union with Wanda; in 1887 he married his children's government. In a novel by Myrlam Harry, Santa in Berlin, we find an interesting portrait of Masoch in retirement. He died in 1895, suddened by the neglect into which his work had fallen.

And yet his writings are important and unusual. He conceived of them as a cycle or rather as a series of cycles. The principal one is entitled The Heritage of Cath and was to have treated six themes: love, property, money, the State, war and death; only the first two parts were finished, but the other four themes can already be discerned in them. The folktales and the ethnic tales

form secondary cycles; they include in particular two somber norels dealing with roystical seets in Galicia which rank among the best of Masoch's works and reach heights of anguish and tension rarely equaled elsewhere (The Faher of Souls and The Mother of God). What is the meaning of the term "heritage of Cain"? It is intended first to express the burden of crime and suffering inherited by humanity; however, this apparent cruelty conceals the more secret theme of the coldness of Nature, of the steppe, of the tcy image of the Mother wherein Cain discovers his own destiny; the coldness of the stern mother is in reality a transmutation of cruelty from which the new man emerges. The "mark" of Cain indicates how the "heritage" is to be used. Cain and Christ bear the same mark, which leads to the crucifixion of Man "who knows no sexual love, no property, no fatherland, no cause, no work; who dies of his own willing, embodying the idea of humanity...."

The work of blasoch draws on all the forces of German Romanticism. In our opinion, no other writer has used to such effect the resources of fantasy and suspense. He has a particular way of "desexualizing" love and at the same time sexualizing the entire history of humanity.

Venus in Furs (Venus im Pelz, 1870) is one of Masoch's most famous novels. It forms part of the first volume of The Heritage of Coin, which deals with the subject of love. A translation by the economist R. Ledos de Beaufort appeared simultaneously in French and in English in 1902, but it was extremely inaccurate. The present version is a translation from the French of a subsequent translation by Aude Willim. The novel is followed by three appendices: the first is a general statement by Masoch on the novel, followed by an account of a scene from his childhood. The second con-

gists of the "love contracts" that Masoch signed with Fanny von Pistor and Wanda. The third appendix is Wanda Sacher-Masoch's account of the adventure with Ludwig II.

Masoch has been treated unjustly, not because his name was unfairly given to the perversion of masochism, but quite the reverse, because his work fell into neglect whereas his name passed into current usage. Although we occasionally find books written on Sade that show no knowledge of his work, this is increasingly rare. Sade is becoming more thoroughly known; clinical studies of sadism are considerably enriched by literary studies of the work of Sade, and vice vena. Even the best writings on Masoch, however, show a surprising ignorance of his work.

Sade and Masoch are not merely cases among others; they both have something essential to teach us, the one about masochism and the other about sadism. The second reason why Masoch's fate it unjust in that in clinical terms he is considered complementary to Sade. This may indeed be the reason why people who are unterested in Sade show no particular interest in Masoch. It is too readily assumed that the symptoms only have to be transposed and the instincts reversed for Masoch to be turned into Sade, according to the principle of the unity of opposites. The theme of the unity of sadism and masochism and the concept of a sadomasochistic entity have done great harm to Masoch. He has suffered not only from unjust neglect but also from an unlair assumption of complementarity and dialectical unity with Sade.

As soon as we read Masoch we become aware that his universe has nothing to do with that of Sade. Their rechniques differ, and their problems, their concerns and their intentions are entirely dissimilar. It is not valid to object that psychoanalysis has long shown the possibility and the reality of transformations between sadism and masochism; we are questioning the very concept of an entity known as sadomasochism. Medicine distinguishes between

syndromes and symptoms, a symptom being the specific sign of an illness, and a syndrome the meeting-place or crossing-point of manifestations issuing from very different origins and arising within variable contexts. We would like to suggest that sadomasochism is a syndrome that ought to be split up into irreducible causal chains. It has been stated so often that sadism and masochism are found in the same person that we have come to believe it. We need to go back to the beginning and read Sade and Masoch, Because the judgment of the clinician is prejudiced, we must take an entirely different approach, the hierory approach, since it is from literature that stem the original definitions of sadism and masochism. It is no accident that the names of two scriters were used as labels for these two perversions. The critical (in the literary sense) and the clinical (in the medical sense) may be destined to enter into a new relationship of mutual learning. Symptomatology is always a question of art; the clinical specificities of sadism and masochism are not separable from the literary values peculiar to Sade and Masoch. In place of a dialectic which all too readily perceives the link between opposites, we should aim for a critical and clinical appraisal able to reveal the truly differential mechanisms as well as the artistic originalities,

CHAPTER !

The Language of Sade and Masoch

"It is too idealistic....and therefore cruel." Doestoevsky, The involved and Injured

What are the uses of literature? The names of Sade and Masoch have been used to denote two basic perversions, and as such they are outstanding examples of the efficiency of literature. Illnesses are sometimes named after typical patients, but more often it is the doctor's name that is given to the disease (Roger's disease, Parkinson's disease, etc.). The principles behind this labeling deserve closer analysis. The doctor does not invent the illness, he dissociates symptoms that were previously grouped together, and links up others that were dissociated. In short he builds up a profoundly original clinical picture. The history of medicine can therefore be regarded under at least two aspects. The first is the history of illnesses, which may disappear, become less frequent, reappear or alter their form according to the state of the society and the development of therapeutic methods. Intertwined with this history is the history of symptomatology, which sometimes precedes and sometimes follows changes in therapy or in the nature of diseases: symptoms are named, renamed and regrouped in various ways. Progress from this point of view generally means

a tendency toward greater specificity, and indicates a refinement of symptomatology. (Thus the plague and leprosy were more common in the past not only for historical and social reasons but because one tended to group under these headings various types of diseases now classified separately.) Great clinicians are the greatest doctors: when a doctor gives his name to an illness this is a major linguistic and semiological step, inasmuch as a proper name is linked to a given group of signs, that is, a proper name it made to connote signs.

Should we therefore class Sade and Masoch among the great clinicians? It is difficult to treat sadism and masochism on a level with the plague, leprosy and Parkinson's disease; the word disease is clearly inappropriate. Nevertheless, Sade and Masoch present unparalleled configurations of symptoms and signs. In coining the term masochism. Kraffe-Ebing was giving Masoch credit for having redefined a clinical entity not merely in terms of the link between pain and sexual pleasure, but in terms of something more fundamental connected with bondage and humiliation (there are limiting cases of masochism without algolagnia and even algolagnia without masochism). I Another question we should ask is whether Masoch does not present a symptomatology that is more refined than Sade's in that it enables us to discriminate between disturbances which were previously regarded as identical. In any gase whether Sade and Masnch are "patients" or clinicians or both, they are also great anthropologists, of the type whose work succeeds in embracing a whole conception of man, culture and nature; they are also great artists in that they discovered new forms of expression, new ways of thinking and feeling and an entirely original language.

In principle, violence is something that does not speak, or speaks but little, while sexuality is something that is little spoken about. Sexual modesty cannot be related to biological fear, oth-

erwise it would not be formulated as it is: "I am less afraid of being touched and even of being seen than of being put into words." What is the meaning of the meeting of violence and sexuality in such excessive and abundant language as that of Sade and Masoch? How are we to account for the violent language linked with eroticism? In a rest that ought to invalidate all theories relating Sade to Nazism, Georges Bataille explains that the language of Sade Is paradoxical because it is essentially that of a victim. Only the victim can describe torture; the torturer necessarily uses the hypocritical language of established order and power. "As a general rule the torturer does not use the language of the violence exerted by him in the name of an established authority; he uses the language of the authority... The violent man it willing to keep quiet and connives at cheating.... Thus Sade's attitude is diametrically opposed to that of the torturer. When Sade writes he refuses to cheat, but he attributes his own attitude to people who in real life could only have been silent and uses them to make self-contradictory statements to other people."

Ought we to conclude that the language of Masoch is equally paradoxical in this instance because the victim speaks the language of the torturer he is to himself, with all the hypocrisy of the torturer?

What is known as pornographic literature is a literature reduced to a few imperatives (do this, do that) followed by obscene descriptions. Violence and croticism do meet, but in a rudimencary fashion. Imperatives abound in the work of Sada and Masoch; they are issued by the cruel libertine or by despotic woman. Descriptions also abound (although the function of the descriptions as well as the nature of their obscenity are strikingly different in the two authors). It would appear that both for Sade and for Masoch language reaches its full significance when it acts directly on the senses. Sade's The One Hundred and Twenty Days of Sodom hinges on tales told to the libertines by "women chroniclers," and in principle the heroes may not take any initiative in anticipation of these tales. Words are at their most powerful when they compel the body to repeat the movements they suggest, and "the sensations communicated by the ear are the most enjoyable and have the keenest impact." In Masoch's life as well as in his fiction, love affairs are always set in motion by anonymous letters, by the use of pseudonyms or by advertisements in newspapers. They must be regulated by contracts that formalize and verbalize the behavior of the partners. Everything must be stated, promised, announced and carefully described before being accomplished. However, the work of Sade and Masoch cannot be regarded as pornography; it merits the more exalted title of "pornology" because its crotic language cannot be reduced to the elementary functions of ordering and describing.

With Sade we witness an astonishing development of the demonstrative use of language. Demonstration as a higher function of language makes its appearance between sequences of description, while the libertines are resting, or in the interval between two commands. One of the libertines will read out a severe pamphlet, or expound inexhaustible theories, or draft a constitution. Alternatively he may agree to hold a conversation or a discussion with his victim. Such moments are frequent, particularly in Justine, where each of the heroine's torturers uses her as a listener and confidante. The libertine may put on an act of trying to convince and persuade; he may even proselytize and gain new recruits (as in Philosophy to the Bedroom). But the intention to convince is merely apparent, for nothing is in fact more alien to the sadist than the wish to convince, to persuade, in short to educate. He is interested in something quite different, namely to demonstrate that reasoning itself is a form of violence, and that he is on the side of violence, however calm and logical he may

be. He is not even attempting to prove anything to anyone, but to perform a demonstration related essentially to the solitude and omesipotence of its author. The point of the exercise is to show that the demonstration is identical to violence. It follows that the reasoning does not have to be shared by the person to whom it is addressed any more than pleasure is meant to be shared by the object from which it is derived. The acts of violence inflicted on the victims are a mere reflection of a higher form of violence to which the demonstration testifies. Whether he is among his accomplices or among his victims, each libertine, while engaged in reasoning, is caught in the hermetic circle of his own solitude and uniqueness — even if the argumentation is the same for all the libertines. In every respect, as we shall see, the tadistic "instructor" stands in contrast to the masochistic "educator."

Here, again, Bataille says of Sade: "It is a language which repudiates any relationship between speaker and audience." Now if it is true that this language is the supreme realization of a demonstrative function to be found in the relation between violence and eroticism, then the other aspect, the language of imperatives and descriptions, appears in a new light. It still remains, but in an entirely dependent role, succeed in the demonstrative element, as it were, floating in it. The descriptions, the attitudes of the bodies, are merely living diagrams (flustrating the abominuble descriptions: similarly the imperatives ustered by the libertines are like the statements of problems referring back to the more fundamental claim of sadistic theorems: "I have demonstrated it theoretically," says Noirecuil, "let us now put it to the test of practice."

We have therefore to distinguish two factors constituting a dual language. The first, the imperative and descriptive factor, represents the personal element; it directs and describes the personal violence of the sadist as well as his individual tastes; the second and higher factor represents the impersonal element in sad-

ism and identifies the impersonal violence with an Idea of pure reason, with a terrifying demonstration capable of subordinating the first element. In Sade we discover a surprising affinity with Spinoza - a naturalistic and mechanistic approach imbued with the mathematical spirit. This accounts for the endless repetitions, the refrerated quantitative process of multiplying illustrations and adding victim upon victim, again and again retracing the thousand circles of an irreducibly solitary argument. Krafft-Ebing. sensed the essential nature of such a process: "In certain cases the personal element is almost entirely absent. The subject gets sexnal enjoyment from beating boys and girls, but the purely impersonal element of his perversion it much more in evidence.... While in most individuals of this type the feelings of power are experienced in relation to specific persons, we are dealing here with a pronounced form of sadism operating to a great extent in geographical and mathematical patterns."5

In the work of Masoch there is a similar transcendence of the imperative and the descriptive toward a higher function. But in this case it is all persuation and education. We are no longer in the presence of a torturer seizing upon a victim and enjoying her all the more because she is unconsenting and empersuaded. We are dealing instead with a victim in search of a forturer and who needs to educate, persuade and conclude an alliance with the torturer in order to realize the strangest of schemes. This is why advertisements are part of the language of masochism while they have no place in true sedism, and why the masochist draws up contracts while the sadist abominates and destroys them. The sadist is in need of institutions, the masochist of contractual relations. The middle ages distinguished with considerable insight between two types of commerce with the devil: the first resulted from possession, the second from a pact of alliance. The sadist thinks in terms of institutionalized possession, the masochist in

terms of contracted alliance. Possession is the sadist's particular form of madness just as the pact is the masochist's. It is essential to the masochist that he should fashion the woman into a despot, that he should persuade her to cooperate and get her to "sign," He is essentially an educator and thus runs the risk inherent in educational undertakings. In all Masoch's novels, the woman, although persuaded, is still basically doubting, as though she were atraid; she is forced to commit herself to a role to which she may prove inadequate, either by overplaying or by falling short of expectations. In The Disordal Monan, the heroine complains "Julian's ideal was a cruel woman, a woman like Catherine the Great, but alas, I was cowardly and weak...," In Kenus, Wanda says: "I am afraid of not being capable of it, but for you, my beloved, I am willing to try." Or again: "Beware, I might grow to enjoy it."

The educational undertaking of Masoch's heroes, their submission to a woman, the terments they undergo, are to many steps in their climb toward the Ideal. The Divoxed Woman is subtitled The Cathory of an Idealist. Severin, the hero of Venus, takes as a morto for his doctrine of "supersensualism" the words of Mephistopheles to Faust: "Thou sensual, supersensual libertine, a little girl can lead thee by the nose." (Uherstanlich in Goethe's text does not mean "supersensitive" but "supersensual," "aupercarnal," in conformity with theological tradition, where Sinnbehick denotes the flesh, sensualisas). It is therefore not surprising that masochism should seek historical and cultural confirmation. in mystical or idealistic initiation rites. The naked body of a woman can only be contemplated in a mystical frame of mind, as is the case in feour. This fact is Illustrated more clearly still in The Directed Bonan, where the hero, Julian, under the disturbing influence of a friend, desires for the first time to see his mistress taked. He begins by invoking a "need" to "observe," but finds that he is overcome by a religious feeling "without anything

sensual about it" (we have here the two basic stages of fetishism). The ascent from the human body to the work of art and from the work of art to the Idea must take place under the shadow of the whip. Masoch is animated by a dialectical spirit. In Rous the story is set in motion by a dream that occurs during an interrupted reading of Hegel. But the primary influence is that of Plato. While Sade is spinozistic and employs demonstrative reason, Masuch is platonic and proceeds by dialectical imagination. One of Masoch's stories is entitled The Low of Ploto and was at the origin of his adventure with Ludwig II.4 Masoch's relation to Plato is evidenced not only by the ascent to the realm of the intelligible, but by the whole technique of dialectical reversal, disguise and reduplication. In the adversure with Ludwig H Masoch does not know at first whether his correspondent is a man or a woman; he is not sure at the end whether he is one or two people, nor does he know during the episode what pare his wife will play, but he is prepared for anything, a true dialectician who knows the opportune moment and seizes it. Plato showed that Socrates appeared to be the lover but that fundamentally he was the loved one. Likewise the marochistic hero appears to be educated and fashloned by the authoritarian woman whereas basically it is he who forms her, dresses her for the part and prompts the harsh words she addresses to him. It is the victim who speaks through the mouth of his tortures, without sparing himself. Dialectic does not semply mean the free interchange of discourse, but implies transpositions or displacements of this kind, resulting in a scene being enacted simultaneously on several levels with reversals and reduplications in the allocation of roles and discourse.

Pornological literature is almed above all at confronting language with its own limits, with what is in a sense a "nonlanguage" (violence that does not speak, eroticism that remains urspoken). However this task can only be accomplished by an internal split-

ting of language: the imperative and descriptive function must transcend itself toward a higher function, the personal element turning by reflection upon itself into the impersonal. When Sade invokes a universal analytical Reason to explain that which is most particular in desire, we must not merely take this as evidence that he is a man of the eighteenth century; particularity and the corresponding delusion must also represent an Idea of pure reason. Similarly when Masoch invokes the dialectical spirit, the spirit of Mephistopheles and that of Plato In one, this must not merely be taken as proof of his romanticism; here too particularity is seen reflectively in the impersonal ideal of the dialectical spirit. In Sade the imperative and descriptive function of language transcends fiself toward a pure demonstrative, instituting function, and in Masoch toward a dialectical, mythical and persuarive function. These two transcendent functions essentially characterize the two perversions, they are twin ways in which the monstrous exhibits itself in reflection.

CHAPTER II

The Role of Descriptions

Since the transcendent function in Sade is demonstrative and in Masoch dialectical, the role and the significance of descriptions are very different in each case. Although Sade's descriptions are basically related to the function of demonstration, they are nevertheless relatively independent creations; they are obscene in chemselves. Sade cannot do without this provocative element. The same cannot be said of Masoch, for while the greatest obscenity may undoubtedly be present in threats, edvertisements or contracts, it is not a necessary condition, Indeed, the work of Masoch in on the whole commendable for its unusual decency. The most vigilant censor could hardly take exception to Knus, unless he were to question a certain atmosphere of suffocation and suspense which is a feature of all Masoch's novels. In many of his stories he has no difficulty in presenting musochistic fantasies as though they were instances of national custom and folklore, or the innocent games of children, or the frolics of a loving woman, or even the demands of morality and patriotism. Thus in the excitement of a hanquet, the men, following an ancient custom, drink out of the women's shoes (Sappho's Slipper); young maidens ask their sweethearts to play at being bears or dogs, and harness them to little carts (The Fisher of Soult); a woman in love teasingly pretends

to use a document signed in blank by her lover (The Blank Paper). In a more serious vein, a woman patriot, in order to save her town, asks to be brought before the Turks, surrenders her husband to them as a slave and gives herself to the Pasha (The Judith of Biolopot). Undoubtedly in all these cases the man derives from his humi lation a "secondary gain" which is specifically masochstic. Nevertheiess, Masoch succeeds in presenting a great part of and work on a "reassuring" note and finds justification for majochistic behavior in the most varied monvations or in the demands of fateful and agonizing situations. (Sade, on the other hand, could toos nobody when he tried this method.) Consequently Masoch was not a condemned author but a fêted and honored one. Even the blatantty masochistic elements in his work gained acceptance as the expression of Siavonic folklore or of the spirit of Little R 1884). He was known as the Turgerney of Little Russia: he could equal y well have been compared to the Comtesse de Segur! Masoch did of course produce a somber counterpart to these works. Venus, The Mother of God, The Fountain of Youth, The Hiema of the Pousta, restore the original rigor and purity of the masochistic motivation. But whether the descriptions are rosy or somper, they always bear the stamp of decency. We never see the naked body of the woman torrurer; it is always wrapped in fur-The body of the victim remains in a strange state of indeterminacy except where it receives the blows.

From can we account for these two kinds of "displacement" in Masoch's descriptions. We are led back to the question: why does the demonstrative function of language in Sade imply obscene descriptions, while Masoch's dialectical function seems to exclude them or at least not to treat them as essential elements?

Underlying the work of Sade is negation in its broadest and deepest sense. Here we must distinguish between two levels of negation: negation, the negative) as a partial process and pure

negation as a totalizing idea. These two levels correspond to Sade's distinction between two natures, the importance of which was shown by Klossowski. Secondary nature is bound by its own rules and its own laws; it is pervaded by the negative, but not everything in it is negation. Destruction is merely the reverse of creation and change, disorder is another form of order, and the decomposition of death is equally the composition of life. The negative is all-pervasive, but the process of death and destruction that it represents is only a partial process. Hence the disappointment of the sad stic hero, faced with a nature which seems to prove to him that the perfect crime is impossible: "Yes, I abhor Nature " Even the thought that other people's pain gives him pleasure does not comfort him, for this ego-satisfaction mere y means that the negative can be achieved only as the reverse of posand a Individuation, no less than the preservation of a reign or a species are processes that testify to the narrow limits of secondary nature. In opposition to this we find the notion of primary nature and pure negation that override atl reigns and all laws. Free even from the necessity to create, preserve or individuare. Pure negation needs no foundation and is beyond al. foundation, a primal delirium, an original and timeless chaos solery composed of wild and lacerating molecules. In the words of the Pope; "The criminal capable of overthrowing the three reaims at once by annihilating them along with their productive capabilities, is the one who will have served Nature best." But in point of fact this original nature cannot be given; secondary nature alone makes up the world of experience, and negation is only ever given in the partial processes of the negative. Therefore original nature is necessarily the object of an idea, and pure negation is a delusion: Disit is a delusion of reason itself. Rationalism is not grafted onto the work of Sade, it is rather by an internal necessity that he evolves the idea of a delusion, an exorbitance specific to mason

It is important to note that the distinction between the two natures corresponds to and is the foundation of the distinction between the two elements, the personal element which embodies the power of negativity and represents the way in which the sadistic ego still participates in secondary nature and reproduces its acts of violence, and the impersonal element which relates to primary nature and the delusional idea of negation, and represents the way in which the sadist negates secondary nature along with his own ego.

n The One Hundred and Twenty Dors of Sadom the libertine states that he finds excitement not in "what is here," but in "what is not here," the absent Object, "the idea of evil." The idea of that which is not, the idea of the No or of negation which is not given and cannot be given in experience must necessarily be the object of a demonstration (in the sense that a mathematical truth holds good even when we are asleep and even if it does not exist in nature). Hence the rage and despair of the sadistic hero when he realizes how pairry his own crimes are in relation to the sdea which he can only reach through the omnipotence of reasoning. He desams of a universal, impersonal crime, or as Clairwil puts t, a crime "which is perpetually effective, even when I myself cease to be effective, so that there will not be a single moment of my life, even when I am asleep, when I shall not be the cause of some disturbance." The task of the libertine is to bridge the gu. I between the two elements, the element at his actual disposal and the element in his mind, the derivative and the original, the personal and the impersonal. The system expounded by Saint-Fond (where Sade develops most fully the idea of a pure defir tum of reason) asks under what conditions "a particular pain, 8" produced in secondary nature would necessarily reverburing and reproduce itself od infinitum in primary nature. This is the close to the meaning of repetitiveness on Sade's writing and of the monotony

of sadism. In practice, however, the libertine is confined to if, is trating his total demonstration with partial inductive processes borrowed from secondary nature. He cannot do more than accelerate and condense the motions of partial violence. He achieves the acceleration by multipaying the number of his victims and their sufferings. The condensation on the other hand implies that violence must not be dissipated under the sway of inspiration or impulse, or even be governed by the pleasures it might afford, since those pleasures would still bind him to secondary nature, but it must be exercised in cold blood, and condensed by this very

la sess the coloness of monestralive reason to the or known quarky of the libertine, the self-control of the pornotog since by this is said to me asts he had any control as the non-tographer. Enthusiasm is precisely what he disalkes in Retical he note rightly said to the way the other paste of mose problems apathetic. This apathy does of course produce intense pleasure, but ultimately it is not the pleasure of an ego participating in accordany nature (even of a criminal ego participating in a criminal nature), but on the contrary the pleasure of negating nature within the ego and outside the ego, and negating the ego itsents is in short the pleasure of demonstrative reason.

his demonstration, it appears that the demonstrative function subordinates the descriptive function, accelerates and condenses it in a controlled manner, but cannot by any means dispense with it The descriptions must be precise both qualitatively and quantitatively and must bear on two areas: cruel actions and disgusting actions, both of which are for the cold-broaded libertine equasources of pleasure. In the words of the mank Clement in Justine: "You have been arrested by two aregularities you have noticed in us, you are astonished that some of our companions should be preasantly stimulated by matters commonly held to be fettld or impure, and you are similarly surprised that our voluptions factuates can be powerfully excited by actions which, in your view, bear none but the emblem of ferocity. "In both cases it is through the intermediary of description and the accelerating and condensing effect of repetition that the demonstrative function achieves its strongest impact. Hence it would appear that the obscentty of the descriptions in Sade is grounded in his whole conception of the negative and of negation.

In Beyond the Pleasure Principle Freud distinguished between the tre instincts and the death instincts, Eros and Thanatos. But in order to understand this distinction we resist make a further and more prolound distinction between the death or destructive instancts and the Death Instance. The former are actually given or exhibited in the unconscious, but always in combination with the I fe instances; this combination of the death instances with Eros as as at were the precondition of the "presentation" of Thurston, So that destruction, and the negative at work in destruction, always manifests strelf as the other face of construction and un fication as governed by the pleasure principle. This is the sense in which Freud is able to state that we do not find a No (pure negation) in the unconscious, since all opposites coincide there. By contrast when we speak of the Death Instinct, we refer to Thanatos, the absolute negation. Thanatos as such cannot be given in psychic life, even in the unconscious. It is, as Freud pointed out in his admirable text, essentially silent. And yet we must speak of it for it is a determinable principle, the foundstion and even more of psychic life. Everything depends on it, though as Frend points out, we can only speak of it in speculative of invibical terms.

The distinction between the death or destructive instincts and the Death Instinct seems in fact to correspond to Sade's distinc-

tion between the two natures or the two elements. The sad stic hero appears to have set himself the task of thinking out the Death Instinct (pure negation) in a demonstrative form, and is only able to achieve this by multiplying and condensing the activities of component negative or destructive instincts. But the question now arises whether there is not yet another "method" besides the speculative sadistic one.

Freud has analyzed forms of reststance which in various ways imply a process of disavowal (Verneinung, Verwerfung, Vedeugnung: Laçan has shown the significance of each of these terms). It might seem that a disavowal is, generally speaking, much more superf cial than a pegation or even a partial destruction. But this is not so, for it represents an entirely different operation. Disavowal should perhaps be understood as the point of departure of an operation that consists neither in negating nor even destroying, but rather in radically contesting the validity of that which it, at subpends belief in and neutralizes the given in such a way that a new horizon opens up beyond the given and to piace of it. The clearest example given by Freud is fertilitisms the fertilit is the image or substitute of the female phallus, that is the means by which we deny that the woman lacks a penit. The fetish st's choice of a fetish is determined by the last object he saw as a child before becoming aware of the missing penis (a shoe, for example, in the case of a glance directed from the feet upward). The constant return to this object, this point of departure, enables it in to validate the existence of the organ that is in dispute. The fetish is therefore not a symbol at all, but as it were a frozen, arrested, twodimensional image, a photograph to which one returns repeatedly to exorcise the dangerous consequences of movement, the harmhad discoveries that result from exploration; it represents the last point at which it was still possible to believe ... Thus it appears that fetishism is first of al. a disavowal ("No. the woman does not tack a penis"); secondly it is a defensive neutralization (since, contrary to what happens with negation, the knowledge of the situation as it is persists, but in a suspended, neutralized form); up the third place it is a protective and idealizing neutralization (for the belief in a female phallus is itself experienced as a protest of the ideal against the real; it remains suspended or neutralized in the ideal, the better to shield itself against the painful awareness of real ty)

Perishism, as defined by the process of disavoural and suspension of belief belongs essentially to masochism. Whether it also has a prace in sadism is a very complex question. There is no doubt that many sadistic murders are accompanied by rituals, as when the victim's clothes are torn without any evidence of a struggie. But it is a mistake to think of the relation of the fetishist to the fetish to terms of sadomasochistic ambivalence: It leads too easily to the creation of a sadomasochistic entity. We should not confuse, as is so often done, two very different types of violence. a potential violence toward the ferish itself, and a violence which arises only in connection with the choice and constitution of the ferish (as in hair despoiling). In our opinion ferishism only occurs In sadism in a secondary and distorted sense. It is divested of its essential relation to disavowal and suspense and passes into the totally different context of negativity and negation, where it becomes an agent in the sadistic process of condensation.

On the other hand there can be no masochism without fetishism in the primary sense. The way in which Masoch defines his idealism or "supersensualism" seems at first sight rather trivial. Why betieve in the idea of a perfect world? asks Masoch in The Divorced Woman. What we need to do is to "put on wings" and escape into the world of dreams. He does not believe in negatng or destroying the world nor in idealizing its what he does is to disavow and thus to suspend it, in order to secure an ideal

which is itself suspended in fantasy. He questions the validity of existing reality in order to create a pure ideal reality, an operation which is perfectly in line with the judicial spirit of masoch sm It is not surprising that this process should lead straight into fetishism. The main objects of fetishism in Masoch's life and work are furs, shoes, the whip, the strange helmets that he liked to adom women with, or the various disguises such as we find in lenus. The scene mentioned earlier from The Directed Woman Hustrates the split that occurs in fetishism and the corresponding double "suspension"; on the one hand the subject is aware of reality but suspends this awareness; on the other the subject clings to his ideal. There is a desire for scientific observation, and subsequently a state of invitical contemplation. The masoch site process of disavowal is so extensive that it affects sexual pleasure its: pleasure is postponed for as long as possible and is thus a savowed The masochist is therefore able to deny the real ty of pleasure at the very point of experiencing it, in order to identify with the new assessment

In Masoch's novels, it is the mamenta of suspense that are the climactic moments. It is no exaggeration to say that Masoch was the first novelist to make use of suspense at an essential ingredient of romantic flection. This is purely because the masoch stic rites of corture and suffering imply actual physical suspension (the hero is hung up, crucified or suspended), but also because the woman torturer freezes into postures that identity her with a statue, a painting or a photograph. She suspends her gestures in the act of bringing down are the perfecting here is her movement is attested as she turns to look at herse first a mirror. As we shall see, these "photographic" scenes, these reflected and aniested images are of the greatest significance both from the general point of view of masochism and from the particular point of view of the art of Masoch. They are one of his creative contribu-

tions to the novel. The same scenes are remarted at various levels in a sort of frozen progression. Thus in Years the key scene of the woman corturer is imagined, staged and enacted in earnest, the roles shifting from one character to another. The aesthetic and dramatic suspense of Masoch contrasts with the mechanical, cumurative repetition of Sade. We should note here that the art of suspense always places us on the side of the victim and forces us to identify with him, whereas the gathering momentum of repetition rends to force us onto the side of the torquier and make us identify with the sadistic hero. Repetition does occur in masochism, but it is totally different from sadistic repetitions in Sade it is a function of acceleration and condensation and in Masuch it is characterized by the "frozen" quality and the suspense.

We are now in a position to account for the absence of obsceree cest of instrumental work of Matoch, the Cheffing of the Jeways t one subsists, but any potential obscenity is disarowed or susproded by aparting the leser priors of their from acids of present the reason of the part of the organization another parts. or again from one aspect of the subject to another. What remains calsurage and approximate aspirers oftensis is a perfunic permeating the suspense and resulting all displacements. Of Masoch it can be said, as it cannot be of Sade, that no one has ever been so far with so lattle offense to decency. This leads us to another aspect of Maroch's are he is a master of the atmospheric novel and the art of suggestion. The settings in Sade, the castles inhabneed by his heroes are subject to the brutal laws of darkness and ight that accelerate the gestures of their cruel occupants. The settings in Masoch, with their heavy tapestries, their cluttered ntimacy, their boudous and closets, create a chiaroscum where the into things, that converge are suspended gestures and suspended suffering. Both in their art and in their language Masoch and Sade are totally different. Let us try to summarize the differences so

fair in the work of Sade, imperatives and descriptions transcend themselves toward the higher function of demonstration: the demonstrative function is based on universal negativity as an active process, and on universal negation as an Idea of pure reason, it operates by conserving and accelerating the descriptions which are overlaid with obscenity. In the work of Maroch, Imperarrives and descriptions also achieve a transcendent function, but it is of a mythical and dialectical order. It rests on universal disavowal as a reactive process and on universal suspension as an Ideal of pure imagination, the descriptions remain, but they are displaced or frozen, suggestive but free from obscenity. The fundamental distinction between sadism and masochism can be summarized in the contrarting processes of the negative and negation on the one hand, and of disavoural and suspense on the other. The first represents a speculative and analytical manner of apprehending the Death Instance - which, as we have seen, can never be given while the second pursues the same object in a totally different way, mythically, dialectically and in the imaginary.

CHAPTER III

Are Sade and Masoch Complementary?

With Sade and Masoch the function of b ensure is not to describe the world, since this has already been done, but to define a counterpart of the world capable of containing its violence and excesses. It has been said that an excess of stimulation is in a sense er an. Thus eroticism is able to act as a mirror to the world by reflecting its excesses, drawing out its violence and even conferring a "spiritual" quality on these phenomens by the very fact that It puts them at the service of the senses. (Sade, in Philosophy In the Bedroom, distinguishes between two kinds of wickedness, the one dull-witted and commonplace, the other purified, te.fconscious and because it is sensoraized, "Intelligent") Similarly the words of this literature create a counter-language which has a direct impact on the senses. It is as though Sade were hold by up a perverse mirror in which the whole course of nature and history were reflected, from the beginning of time to the Revolution of 1789. In the isolation of their remote chateaux, Sade's heroes claim to reconstruct the world and rewrite the "history of the heart." They muster the forces of nature and tradition, from everywhere - Africa, Asia, the ancient world - to arrive at their tangible reality and the pure sensual principle underlying them Ironically, they even strive toward a "republicanism" of which the French are not yet capable.

In Masoch we find the same ambition, to hold up a perverse murror to all nature and all mankind, from the origins of history to the 1848 revolutions of the Austrian Empire ~ "The history of cruesty an love " For Masoch, the minorities of the Austrian Empire are an inexhaustible source of stories and customs (hence the Galician, Hungarian, Polish, Jewish and Prussian tales that form the main part of his work). Under the general title The Herstage of Cam, Masoch conceived of a "universal" work, the natural history of humanity to a cycle of stories with six main themes: love, property, money, the State, war and death. Each of these forces was to be restored to its cruel physical immediacy; under the sign of Cain, in the mirror of Cain, he was to show how monarchs, generals and diplomais deserved to be thrown in jall and executed along with murderers. 4 Masneh liked to imagine that the Slavs were in need of a beautiful female despot, a terrible Tsarina, to ensure the triumph of the revolutions of 1848 and to atrengther the Panslavic movement. "A further effort, Slavs, if you would become Republicans."

The sadomasochistic entity was not invented by Freud; we find it in the work of Krafft-Eblog. Have ook Edia and Péré. The strange relationship between pleasure in doing and pleasure in suffering evil has always been sensed by doctors and writers who have recorded man's intimate life. The "meeting" of sadism and masochism, the affinity that exists between them, is apparent in the work of both Sade and Masoch. There is a certain masochism in Sade's characters, in The One Himdred and Twenty Days of Sadom we are told of the tortures and humihations which the fibertines deliberately undergo. The tadist enjoys being whipped as much as he enjoys whipping others. Saint-Fond in Julieue arranges for a gang of men to assail him with whips. La Borghése cries: "I would wish that my aberrations lead

me like the lowest of creatures to the fate which befits their wantonness: for me the scaffold would be a throne of exquisite bliss." Conversely, there is a certain sadism in masochism, at the end of his ordeals, Severin, the hero of *Venus* in Flus, declares himself cured and turns to whipping and torturing women. He sees himself no longer as the "anvil" but as the "hammer"

However, it is remarkable that in both instances he reversal should only occur at the end of the enterprise. Severin's sadism is a culmination; it is as though expiation and the satisfaction of the need to explate were at last to permit the hero what his punishments were previously intended to deny him. Once they have been undergone, punishments and suffering altow the exercise of the evil they once prohibited. Likewise the "majochism" of the salistic bero makes its appearance at the outcome of his sadistic exercises: It is their climax, the crowning sanction of their grorious inlamy. The libertine is not afraid of being treated in the way he treats others. The pain he suffers it an sixtmate piessure, not because it satisfies a need to expire or a feesing of guilt, but because it confirms him in his inalienable power and gives him o supreme certitude. Through insults and hum liations, in the throes of pain, the libertine is not explating, but in Sade's words, "he rejoteer in his inner heart that he has gone far enough to deserve such treatment." This kind of paroxysm in Sade's heroes is highly significant, for it means, as Maurice Branchot por its out that "in spite of the similarity of the descriptions, it seems fair to grant the paternity of masochum to Sacher-Masoch and that of sadism to Sade. Pleasure in humiliation never detracts from the mastery of Sade's heroes; debasement exalts them, emotions such as shame, remorse or the desire for purushment are quite unknows to them. **?

It would therefore be difficult to say that sadism turns into masochism and vice versa; what we have in each case is a para-

doxical by-product, a kind of sadism being the humorous outcome of masochism, and a kind of masochism the ironic outcome of sadism. But it is very doubtful whether the masochist's sadism is the same as Sade's, or the sadist's masochism the same as Masochis. The masochist is able to change into a sadist by explating, the sadest into a masochist on condition that he does not explate. If ats existence is too bastily taken for granted the sadomasochistic en its is liable to become a crude syndrome that fails to satisfy the demands of genuine symptomatology. It rather falls into the category of disturbances mentioned earlier which are coherent in appearance only and which must be broken down into discrete chinical entities. We should not deal with the problem of symptoms too lightly. It is sometimes necessary to start again from scratch and to break up a syndrome that blurs and arbitrarily whites radically dissimilar symptoms. Hence our suggestion that Masoch was perhaps an even greater clinician than Sade, in that he provided various electrations and insultions which help to break down the sportious sadomasochistic untry.

The behef in this unity is to a large extent the result of misunderstandings and careless reasoning. It may seem obvious that the sidist and the masochist are destined to meet. The fact that the one enjoys sufficing while the other enjoys suffering palo seems to be such striking proof of their complementarity that it would be disappointing if the encounter did not take place. A popular joke tells of the moeting between a sadist and a masochist; the masochist says: "Hurt me." The sadist replies: "No." This is a particularly stapid joke, not only because it is unrealistic but because it footishly claims competence to pass judgment on the world of perversions. It is unrealistic because a genuine sadist could never tolerate a masochistic victim (one of the monks' victims in Justine explains: "They wish to be certain their crimes cost tears; they would send away any girl who was to come here voluntarily.") Neither would the masochist tolerate a truly sadistic torturer. He does of course require a special "nature" in the woman corturer, but he needs to mold this nature, to educate and persuade it in accordance with his secret project, which could never be ful alled with a sadistic woman. Wanda Sacher-Masoch should not have been surprised that Sacher-Masoch failed to respond to one of their sadistic woman friends; conversely, the critics were wrong in suspecting Wanda of lying because she presented a vaguely innocent image of herself, however cunningly and clumsily. Sadistic characters do of course play a part in the masochistic situation as a whose, and the novels of Masoch, as we shall see, offer many examples of this. But their role is never a direct one, and it becomes significant only in the context of the situation that exists before their appearance. The female torturer regards with suspicion the sadist who proposes to help her, as though she sensed the incompatibility of their respective sims. In The Fisher of Souls, the herotine Dragomira expresses this feeling to the cruel count Boguslav Soltyk, who beheves she is sadistic and cruel: "You make people suffer out of cruelty, but I castigate and kill in the name of God, without piry, but also without batted."

We tend to ignore this obvious difference. The woman terturer of assochism cannot be sadistic precisely because the is in the masochistic situation, she is an integral part of it, a realization of the masochistic lantasy. She belongs in the masochistic world, not in the sense that she has the same tastes as her victum, but because her "sad sm" is of a kind never found in the sadists it is as it were the double or the reflection of masochisms. The same is true of sadism. The victim cannot be masochisms not merely because the libertine would be liked if she were to experience pleasure, but because the victim of the sadist belongs entirely in the world of sadism and is as integral part of the sadist tic situation. In some strange way she is the counterpart of the sadistic torturer (in Sade's two great novels which are like the reflections of each other, Juliette and Justine, the depraved and the virtuous gir), are sisters). Sadism and masochism are confused when they are treated like abstract entities each in isolation from their timuelt and stripped of their flesh and blood, it seems natural that they should fit in with each other.

Fhis is not to say that the victim of the sadist is herself sadistic, nor that the torturer of masochism is masochistic. But equally unacceptable is Krafte-Ebing's view according to which the torturer of Masoch is eather a true sadist or else pretends to be one, n our opinion the woman torturer belongs entirely to manochismi admittedly she is not a masochistic obaracter, but she is a pure element of masochism. By distinguishing in a perversion between the subject (the person) and the element (the essence), we are able to understand how a person can elude his subjective destiny, but only with portial success, by playing the role of an element in the situation of his choice. The torturess escapes from her own masochism by assuming the active role in the masochistic situation. It is a mistake to think shat she is sadistic or even pretending to be so. We must not imagine that it is a matter of the masochist encountering a sadist by a stroke of luck. Each subject in the perversion only needs the "element" of the same perversion and not a subject of the other perversion. Whenever the type of the woman torturer is observed in the masochistic setting, it becomes obvious that she is neither a genuine sadist not a pseudosadist but something quite different. She does indeed belong essentially to masochism, but without realizing It as a subject, the incarnates instead the element of "inflicting pain" in an exclusively masochistic situation. Masoch and his before are constantly in search of a peculiar and extremely rare feminine

"nature." The subject in masochism needs a certain "essence" of masochism inhodied of the are of a woman with renounces her own subjective masochism; he definitely has no need of another subject, i.e., the sadistic subject

Admittedly the term sadomasochism does not merely imply the external event of two persons meeting. Nevertheless the theme of an encounter often persists, if on v in the form of a "wittiefsm" (forming in the unconscious. When Freud took up and reformulated the question of sadomasochism, he started with the conservation that sade passed with the air material on the nesome individual, involving opposite instincts and drives. "A person who feels pleasure in producing pain in someone else in a sexual relationship is also capable of enjoying as pleasure any pain which he may himself derive from sexual relations. A sasist is always at the same time a masochist, although the active or the passive aspect of the perversion may be the more strongly developed in him and may represent his predominant sexual ac vity. " His second consideration is that there is an identity of experience the sadist, qua sadist, is only able to feet pleasure in inflicting pain because he has experienced in the past a link between his own pleasure and the pain he has suffered. This argument is all the more curious in that it is stated in the light of Freud's first thesis, where sadism is made to precede matochism. But Freud distinguishes two types of sadisms the first in purely aggressive and only arms at domination; the second is hedonistic and aims at producing pain in others. The masochistic experience of a link between one's own mean and to is over partials or ween besetten forms of sadism. It would never occur to the sad at to find pleasare in other people's pain if he had not hanself first undergone the masochistic experience of a link between pain and pleasure.9 Thus Freud's first model is more comptex than it seems, and suggests the following sequence: aggressive sadistn - turn ng around of sadism upon the self—masochistic experience — hedonistic sadism (by projection and regression). Note that the consideration of an identity of experience is invoked by Sade's libertines, who thus contribute to the idea of a sadomasochistic entity. Noticeuil explains that the fibertine's experience of his own paints related to a stimulation of his "nervous fluid"; It is therefore scarcely surprising that a man thus endowed should "imagine that he moves the object of his pleasure by the same means that affect him."

The third argument is concerned with transformations: it consists in showing that the secoal instructs are liable to merge into one another or to transform themselves directly with respect both to their aims and to their objects (reversal into the opposite, turning around upon the self). Again this argument is curious since Freud's attitude toward theories of transformation is extremely reserved. On the one hand he does not believe in an evolutionary tendency, on the other, the dualism which he always maintained in his theory of the instancts places a definite limitation on the possibility of transformations, since according to this theory they can never occur between one group of instincts and another. Thus, in The Ego and the Id. Freud explicitly rejects the hypothesis of a direct transformation of love into hate and vice versa, owing to the fact that these agencies depend on qualitatively differentiated instincts (Eros and Thanatos). In fact Freud shows a much greater affinity with Geoffroy Saint-Helaire than with Jarwan. When Freud says that we do not become perverse but simply fast to outgrow the perterse stage of infancy, he uses a formula which comes very close indeed to that used by Geoffroy in connection with freaks. The key concepts of fixation and regression are in direct line of descent from Geoffroy's tenitology (arrested development and retrogradation). Genffroy's point of view excludes all evolution by direct transformation: there is only

a bierarchy of possible types and forms, and development within this hierarchy stops at a more or less early stage, or "retrogradation" sets in more or less severely. We find the same conception in Freud: the various combinations of the two types of instincts make up a whole bierarchy of forms at which the individual may become fixated or to which he may regress. It is all the more remarkable that in his treatment of perversions Freud seems to admit of a polymorphous system with possibilities of evolution and direct transformation, which he regards as unacceptable in the field of neurotic and cultural termations.

Therefore if we are to view the concept of a sacomasochistic entity in the light of Freud's arguments we are faced with a probiem. Even the notion of a component instruct is a dangerous one in this context since it tends to make ut ignore the specificity of types of sexual behavior. We tend to forget that all the available energy of the subject becomes mobilized at the service of his parescular perversion. The sadist and the masachist might well but enacting separate dramas, each complete in itself, with different sets of characters and no possibility of communication between them, either from inside or from outside. Only the normal "communicate" - more or less. In the sphere of perversions, it is a mistake to confuse the formations, the concrete and specific manefestations, with an abstract "grid," as though a common libidinal substance flowed now into one form, now into another. We are told that some individuals experienced pleasure both in inflicting pain and in suffering it. We are cold furthermore that the person who enjoys inflicting pain experiences in his antermost being the link that exists between the pleasure and the parn. But the question is whether these "facts" are not mere abstractions, whether by pleasure pain ank is being abstracted from the concrete formal condoners in which it acises. The pleasure-pain complex is regarded as a sort of neutral substance common to both sadiam

and masochism. The link is even further specified by being ascribed to a particular subject, and it is supposed to be experienced equally and identically by the sadistic and the masochistic subject, regardless of the concrete forms from which it results in each case. To assume that there is an underlying common "substance" which explains in advance all evolutions and transformations is surely to proceed by abstraction. Even though the sadist may definitely enjoy being hurt, it does not follow that he enjoys. t in the same way as the masochist; likewise the masochist's pleasuse in infacting pain is not necessarily the same as the sadist's. We are mevitably led back to the problem of syndromes: some syndromes merely attach a common label to irreducible their ent disturbances. Biology warms us against over-hasty acceptance of the existence of an uninterrupted evolutionary chain. The fact that two organs are opologous need not mean that there is an evulutionary bisk between them. We should avoid falling onto "evolutionism" by aligning in a single chain results which are approximately continuous but which imply tereducible and hererogeneous formations. An eye, for example, could be produced n several independent ways, as the outcome of different sequences, the analogous product of completely different mecha-

marris. I suggest that this is also true of sadism and masochism

and of the pleasure-pain complex as their allegedly common

organ. The concurrence of sadism and masochism is fundamen-

or in the extanatory one in their processes and their for hallons are

entirely different; their common organ, their "eye," squints and

she is here ore make as suspicious

CHAPTER IV

The Three Women in Masoch

The heroines of Masoch have in common a well-developed and muscular figure, a proud nature, an imperious will and a crue disposition even in their moments of tenderness and natvets. The oriental courtesan, the awe-inspiring Tsarina, the Hungarian or the Polish revolutionary, the servant-mistress, the Sarmatian peasant girl, the cold mystic, the genteet girl, all share these basic traits: "Whether she is a princess or a peasant girl, whether she is clad in termine or sheepskin, she is atways the same womans the wears furs, she wields a whip, she treats mon as playes and she is both my creation and the true Sarmatian Woman "10 Bur beneath this apparent uniformity we may distinguish three very different types of women.

The first type is that of the Grecian woman, the pagan, betaera or Aphrodite, the generator of disorder. Her die, in her own words, is dedicated to love and beauty, she areas for the moment. She is sensual, the loves whoever attracts her and gives herself accordingly. She believes in the independence of woman and in the fleeting nature of love; for her the sexes are equal site is hermaphrodite. But it is Aphrodite, the female principal, that triumphs—as Omphale surmans Hercules with woman's aftere. She conceives equality merely as the critical moment at which she

gains dominance over man, for "man trembles as soon as woman becomes his equal " The is modern and denounces prairiage morality the Church and the Sare as he inventions of man, which has be less trived the is the cream character who appears the opening of the Disorted Johnson, where she makes a length profession of the Disorted Johnson, where she makes a length profession of the Disorted Johnson, where she makes a length profession of the Disorted Johnson, where she makes a length profession of the Disorted Johnson he is the imperious and conquestion.

Zenous as the treates based in the partial table is impires the work in Johnson disk to he desire a distribute subjugates he is he has a trib to easy the control of the opposite sex

1 to other extreme me neither said on memon. She copose were grown or represent to the property of their bereits thems. all of maps. he a son or otherwise perfectings on a sheet with of the contract of the contract of the state historical recipion so has had happened by can ban igh-Aprile and extractive of the copiese March of the the radio as a standard for wanted are easily the Greek are indeed typological we differ opens as a direct plant to the the worman or saile to In any the matter tought a very broken hadron's e the country to the laboration as a three lower the leading Indicar to the one they properly up of the care and hines to be taking on business and og a store women en allere den sine teten es mele any in more a morare realine organ he work throughout bades short a first her her her arms her rees and ther is a first the absence of the Private Anna K a feet performs her say end a to agree as a beigned that free the herring of The short braging to incharge of he chastist ment of the sada. Sound with the same of the assessment that they are bear or the arm to a group one who are a lance with him.

In Ferrors are the norms. When has seen there exist a first and direct and woman and class a place one she is a sadist. At the beginning

she identifies with the woman in the dream, the Hermaphrodite. In a fine speech, she declares: "I admire the secone sensuality of the Greeks - pleasure without pain; it is the ideal I strive to reasize. I do not believe in the love preached by Christianity and our modern knights of the spirit. Take a look at me. I am worse than a heretic, I am a pagan.... Despite holy ceremonies, oaths and contracts, no permanence can ever be imposed on love; it is the most hangeable element to our ransien are Car you deny that our Christian world is falling into decay?" But at the end of the novel she behaves like a sadist; under the influence of the Greek she has Severin whipped by hims "I was dying of shame and despair. What was prose from talling was that supersonal pleas re-is my participation on lashed of A softwhat and there were to all a ight of he be adopted all sections in the as one was followed the text area. finally elemenagemy to a nonposen rage of set myse family no viduptimus imaginatom, are assert all wroman and tone." Total the mosel cultivate sa any Value goes down to the sec-Greek man land mad ex while Severe hamab tens sayst or, as he puts it, becomes the "hamme-"

It is clear, however, that neither the hermaphroditic nor the sadictic type represents has not a time, it we have because the same in the right of me ner one the warm woman not he he wine in the right of me ner one the warm woman not he he are who spraws a me every the inperious Zenotoa the herard who spraws a me every where simulity demands in the young Natard who is past as commercing has so at a logic her disherent way the oppose a policy the sades we may be equal measured in the sades we may a could be sate to a some more than some or a principle of white is not than sate sate in a time, and me cover in forming an arrange with hole is she suggested by the young Anitta, whose type is more truly in keeping with Masoch's idea.

In Vinus the adventure begins with the theme of the heraera and ends with the sadistic theme, yet the essential part of the story is enacted in between these two extremes, in another element. The two themes do not represent the masochistic ideal but rather the end points between which this ideal swings, like the span of the pendulum. At one extreme masochism has yet to come into operation, and at the other it has already lost its mison d'ême. The character of the woman tortuzer regards these outer limits with a mixture of fear, revulsion and attraction, since she never quite knows whether she will be able to maintain her prescribed role, and fears that she might at any moment fall back into primitive hetserium or forward into the other extreme of sadism. Anna, in The Divorced Woman, declares that she is too weak, too capricross - the capriciousness of the heraera - to incarnate Julian's ideal. In fenus. Wanda only becomes sadistic breause she can no longer maintain the role that Severin has imposed on her ("): was you who stifled my feesings with your romantic devotion and insane passion").

What is the essential manochistic element, the scene between the two boundaries where the crocost action takes place? What is the intermediate femining type between the heracia and the sadist? Only by piccing together the various descriptions of her in Masoch's writings can we hope to arrive at this fantastic character, this fantasy. In a "conse mic," The descheres of Uglines, he describes the mother of the family: "an imposing woman, with an air of severity, pronounced features and cold eyes, who nevertheless cherishes her little brood." Marticha is described as being "like an Indian woman or a Tartar from the Mongolian desert" she has "the tender heart of a dove together with the cruel instincts of the feline race." Lola likes to torrure animals and dreams of witnessing or even taking part in executions, but "in spite of her pecuhar tastes, the girl was neither brutal nor eccentric: on the

contrary, she was reasonable and kind, and showed all the tenderness and delicacy of a sentimental nature "In The Mother of God Mardona is gentle and gay, and yet she is stern, and and a master torturer: "Her lovely face was flushed with anger but her large blue eves shone with a gentle light." Nam Baranoff is a haugh y norse with a heart of stone, but she becomes the tender f ancee of a dying man, and eventually meets her own death in the snow in Moonlight we finally come upon the secret of Nature Nature herself is cold, maternal and severe. The trinity of the masochistic dream is summed up in the words: cold—maternal—severe, ity—sentimental—cruel. These qualities point to the difference between the woman turturer and her "counterparts," the hestern and the sadist, their sensuality is replaced by her supersensuous sentimentality, their warmth and their fire by her by coldness, their confusion by her eigorous order.

The sadistic hero, just as much as the fermining ideal of Masoch, professes an essential coldness which Sade calls "apathy." But one of our main problems is precisely to ascertain whether, with respect to cruelty, the apathy of the sadist is not completely disferent from the coldness of the ideal masochistic type. There is once more a danger of merely reinforcing the sadomatochist c abstraction by equating what are in fact two very different kinds of coldness. The "apachy" of the sadist is essent ally directed against feeling, all feelings, even and especially that of doing evil. are condemned on the grounds that they bring about a dangerous dissipation which prevents the condensation of energy and its precipitation into the pure element of impersonal and demonstrative sensuality. "Try to turn into pleasure all things that alarm your heart." All enthusiasm, even and especially the enthusiasm for exil, is condemned because it enchains us to secondary nature and is still a residue of goodness within us. In the novers of bade, the true libertines mistrust those characters who are still subject

to emotional outbursts, and who show that, even in the midst of evil and for evil's sake, they are liable to be "converted to the first musioriume." The coldness of the masochistic ideal has a quite different meaning: it is not the negation of feeling but rather the disavowa, of sensuality. It is as if sentimentality assumed in this instance the superior role of the impersonal element, while sensual ty held us prisoner of the particularities and imperfections of secondary nature. The function of the masochistic ideal is to ensure the triumph of ice-cold sentimentality by dint of coldness; the cordness is used here, as it were, to suppress pagan sensualty and keep sadistic sentuality at bay. Sensuality is disavowed, and no longer exists in its own right; thus Masoch can announce the birth of the new man "devoid of sexual fove." Masochistic coldnem represents the freezing point, the point of dialectical transmutation, a divine latency corresponding to the catastrophe of the on Age. But under the cold remains a supersensual sentimentality buried under the lee and protected by fur; this sentimentality radiates in turn through the ice is the generative principle of new order, a specific wrath and a specific cruelty. The coldness is both protective milieu and medium, cocoon and vehicle: It protects tupersensual centimentality as inner life, and expresses it as exterru order at wrath and severity.

Masoch was acquainted with the work of his contemporary Bachofen, an eminent ethnologist and fiegelian jurist. Is not Bachofen, as much as flegel, the impression behind the dream at the beginning of *linual* Bachofen distinguished three eras in the evolution of humanity. The first is the hetaeric or Aphroditic era, born in the lustiful chaos of primeral swamps: woman's relations with man were many and fickle, the feminine principle was dominant and the father was "Nobody" (this phase, typified by the ruling courtesans of Asia, has survived in such institutions as temple prostitution). The second, or Demetrian era, dawned

among the Amazons and established a strict gynocratic and agricultural order, the swamps were drained; the father or husband now acquired a certain status but he still remained under the domination of the woman. Finally the patriarchal or Apolionian system established atself, matriarchy surviving in degenerate Amazonian or even Dionysian fortos. 11 Masoch's three feminine types can easily be recognized in these three stages, the first and third eras being the limits between which the second oscillates in its precarious splendor and perfection. Here the fantasy finds what it needs, namely a theoretical and ideotogical structure which transforms it into a general conception of human nature and of the world. Talking about the art of the novel, Masoch remarked that we must proceed from the "schema" to the "problem"; from our starning point in the obsessive fantary we must progress to the theoretical framework where the problem arises. 12

How does the Greek idea, become transformed into the may ochistic ideal, the chaotic sensuality of the herseric era into the new order of gynocratic sentimentality? Obviously through the catastrophe of the glacial epoch, which accounts for both the repression of sensuality and the triumphant rise of severity.

In the masochistic fantary, for retains its oblistarian function; it is worn less for the sake of modesty than from fear of catching cold. "Venus must hide herself in a vist for lest she catch cold in our abstract northern chime, in the toy realm of Christianity." Masoch's hersines frequently sneeze. Everything is suggestive of coldness; marble body, women of stone, Venus of ice, are favorite expressions of Masoch; his characters often serve their amorous apprenticeship with a cold statue, by the light of the moon. The woman in the dream, at the beginning of Venus, expresses in her speech a romantic nortalgia for the lost world of the Greeks. "You cannot begin to appreciate love as pure bliss and divine serenity you modern men, you children of reason." as soon as you try to

Christian incense. You do not need the gods — they would breeze to death in your chimate." That is indeed the essence of the matters the catastrophe of the Ice Age having engulied the world of the Greeks and with at the type of the Greekan woman, both sexes found themselves impoverished. Man became coarse and abught a new dignity in the development of consciousness and thought, as a reaction to man's heightened consciousness woman developed sentimentality, and toward his coarseness, severity. The glacial cold was wholly responsible for the transformation; sentimentality became the object of man's thought, and cruelty the punishment for his coarseness. In the coldhearted alliance between man and woman, it is this cruelty and sentimentality in woman that compel man to thought and properly constitute the mayorb stic islead.

Like Sade, Masoch distinguishes two natures, but he characterizes them differently. Coarse nature is ruled by individual arbitrariness noing and violence, hatred and destruction, disorder and sensuality are everywhere at work. Beyond this lies the great primary nature, which is impersonal and self-conscious, sentimental and supersensual. In the prologue to Masoch's Galiston Tales a character known as "the wonderer" Indicts Nature for being evil Nature replies in her own defense that she is not heatile and does not hate as, even when she deals death, but always turns to us a threefold face cold, maternal, severe.... Nature is the steppe. Masoch's descriptions of the steppe are of great beauty, especially the one that appears at the beginning of Friolo Bolobors the representation of nature by the identical images of the steppe, the sea and the mother aims to convey the idea that the steppe buries the Greek world of sensuality and rejects at the same time the modern world of sadism. It is like a dooling force which transforms desire and transmutes cruelty. This is the messianic idealism of the steppe. It does not follow that the cruelty of the masochastic ideal is any the lesser than primitive or sadistic cruelty, than the cruelty of whims or that of wickedness. Although masochasm always has a theatrical quality that is not to be found in sadism, the sufferings it depicts are not, for all that, simulated or slight, neither is the ambient cruelty less great (the stones of Masoch record exerociating tortures). What characterizes masochism and its theatricality is a peculiar form of crue ty in the woman torturer; the cruelty of the Ideal, the specific freezing point, the point at which Idealism is realized.

Masoch's three women correspond to three fundamental mother amages: the first is the primitive, uterine, hetaeric mother, mother of the closes and the swamps; the second is the Oco pal mother the image of the beloved, who becomes linked with the sad atic father as victim or as accomplice; and in between these two, the oral mother, mother of the steppe, who nartures and brings death We call her intermediate, but she may also come tast of al., for she is both oral and sugar and therefore has the last word. Freud saw her thus in The Theme of the Three Cotters, in agreement with many themes from mythology and folklore. "The mother herself, the believed who is chosen after her pattern, and fine ly the Mother Earth who receives him again. The third of the cates alone, the silent goddess of Death, will take from into her arms." Her true place, however, is between the two others all hough sic in displaced by an inevitable illusion of perspective. In this connection we feel that Bergler's general thesis is entirely round, the specific element of masochism is the oral mother,15 the ideal of coldness, solicitude and death, between the uterine mother and the Oedspal mother. We must wonder all the more why so many psychoanatysts insist on discovering a disguised father-image at the masochistic ideal, and on detecting the presence of the factor beliefe the woman torturer

CHAPTER V

Father and Mother

It is argued that the overtness of the masochast's conflict with the mother, his readiness to incriminate her, should convince us that it is not the mother but the father who prays the central role. But this is to assume that all resistances spring from repression; and in any case the masochist's alleged resistance might just at easily take the form of a displacement from one mother figure to another, it is not enough either to point to the muscular build and the furs of the tortaress as evidence of a composite image. The "father" hypothesis stands in need of serious phenomenological or symptomatological support and cannot be made to rest on a line of reasoning which already presupposes an ettology, and with it the fallacious concept of a sadomasochistic entity. It is assumed that since the father-image is a determinant in sadism, this must also be true for maroch sm. the same factors operating in both cases, once one allows for the inversions, projection and blurring characteristic of masochism. From this viewpoint the masochist would start by wishing to take the place of the father and steal his potency (he sad suc stage); a feeling of guilt would then arise, and with it the lear of castration, leading him to renounce the active aim and take the place of the mother in soliciting the father's over But in order to avoid the new onset of guilt and castration fear to which the passive role gives rise, he would now replace the desire to be boaten," which not only represents a lesser form of punishment, but is a substitute tor the love relationship itself. But why is it the mother who does the beating and not, as we should expect, the father? We are given various reasons for this first the need to would a choice which is too blatantly homosexual; second the need to preserve the first stage where the mother was the desired object, and graft onto it the punishing action of the father; finally the need to present the whole process as a kind of demonstration or ploa addressed solely to the father; "You see, it is not I who wanted to take your place, it is she who hurts, castrates and beats me.

if the father appears to play the decisive role throughout these successive stages, it is because masochism is treated as a combination of highly abstract elements subject to various transformations. There is a failure to appreciate the total concrete situation. the specific world of the perversions we are not given a genuinely a derential diagnosis because the symptoms themselves have been obscured by a preconceived ethology. Even such notions as cartration and guilt lose their explanatory force when they are used to show that situations that are fundamentally unrelated nevertheless reverse into one another and are thus related after all Modes of equivalence and translation are mistaken for systems of transition and transformation. Even a psychoanalyst of Reik's insight can say: "Whenever we had the opportunity to study a case we found the father or his representative hidden behind the figure of the beating woman." In making such a statement we need to be far more specific about the meaning of "hidden," and to explain under what conditions someone or something can be said to be bidden in the relation between symptoms and causes. The same author adds: "After having considered, tested,

and put all this in the balance, there yet remains a doubt—does not the oldest stratum of masochism as phantasy and action regress after all to the mother-child relationship as to a historical reality?" And yet he upholds what he calls his "impression" concerning the essential and constant role of the rather "Is at speaking about symptoms or offering an etiology based on combinations of abstractions? We are again faced with the question whether the belief in the determinant role of the father in masochism is not samply the result of the preconceived notion of a sadominochestic entity.

The paternal and patriarchal theme andoubtedly predominates to sall sur. There are many hereines in Sade's novels, but their a tions, the pleasures they enjoy together and their common projects are all to imitation of man; man is the speciator and presiding genius to whom all their activities are dedicated. Sade a androgenous creations are the product of an incessious union of father and daughter. Although parricule occurs as frequently as matricide to the work of Sade, the two forms of crime are far from equivalent. Sade equator the mother with secondary nature, which is composed of "soft" molecules and is subject to the naws of creation, conservation and reproduction, the tather by constate only belongs to this nature through social conservation. Intrinsically be represents primary nature, which is beyond a l constituted order and is made up of wild and lacerating morecules that carry disorder and anarchy: poter sive Natura prima-Therefore the father is murdered only insofar as he departs from his true nature and function, while the mother is murdared because the remains far hilly to here. As Klussowski has shown with the greatest insight, the sad stic fantasy ultimately resis on the theme of the father destroying his own family, by inciting the daughter to torture and murder the mother. 5 In sadism the Oed pal image of woman is made, as it were, to explode the mother

becomes the victim par excellence, while the daughter is elevated to the position of incessions accomplice. For since the institution of the family and even the law are affected by the maternal character of secondary nature, the father can only be a father by overriding the law, by dissolving the family and prostituting its members. The father represents nature as a primitive anarchic torce that can only be restored to its original state by destroying the laws and the secondary beings that are subject to them. The ultimate aim of the sadist is to put as effective end to all procreative ance it competes with primary nature. What makes Sade's heromes sadistic is their sodomitic union with the father in a fundamental alliance against the mother. Sadism is in every sense an active negation of the mother and an exaliation of the father who is beyond all laws.

In "The Passing of the Oedipus Complex," Freud points to two possible outcomes: the active-sadistic, where the child identifies with the father, and the possive-masochistic, where he takes instead the prace of the mother and deures to be lived by the father. The theory of partial impulses allows for the coexistence of these two entities and thus lends support to the belief in the ursity of sadism and masochism. Freud says of the Wolf Man: "In his tadism he maintained his ancient identification with his father; but in his masochism he chose him as a sexual object." So when we are told that the character who does the beating in masochism is the author, we are entitled to ask. Who in reality is being bearen? Where is the father hidden? Could it not be in the person who ts being beaten? The masochist feels guilty, he asks to be beaten, he explates, but why and for what crime? Is it not precisely the father-image in him that is thus minraturized, beaten, ridiculed and humshated? What the subject atones for is his resemblance to the father and the father's likeness in him: the formula of masochism is the burniliated father. Hence the father is not so much

the beater as the beaten. A point of great significance in the fantasy of the three mothers is the symbolic transfer or redistribution of all paternal functions to the threefold feminine figure, the father is excluded and completely null ifted. Most of Masoch's novels contain a hunting scene which is described in minute detail. the ideal woman hunts a bear or a wolf and despoils it of its fur. We could interpret this symbolically as the struggle of woman against man, from which woman emerger triumphant. But this would be a mistake, since woman has already thumpfied when masochism begins, the bear and the fur have already been invested with an exclusively feminine significance. The enimal stands for the primitive heraeric mother, the pre-birth mother, at is hunted and despuiled for the benefit of the one mother, with the aim of achieving a rebirth, a parthenogenetic second birth in which, as we shall see, the father has no part. It is true that man reappears at the opposite pale, on the side of the Occupal mother: an all ance is contracted between the third woman and the sadistic man-(Elizabeth and Ipolkar in The Fountain of Youth, Dragomira and Boguslav in The Fisher of Soult, and Wanda and the Greek in Wants). But this respectance of man is compatible with masuchism only to the extent that the Oedipal mother maintains her rights and her integrity, not only does the man appear in elfeminate, transvestite form (the Greek in Kour), but in contrast to what happens in sadism, the mother-representative is the accomplice and the young girl is the victim. (In The Fountain of Youth, the matochistic hero allows Elizabeth to murder Gisele, the young girl he loves.) Where the sadistic man happens to triumph, as he does at the end of least, all masochistic activity ceases, like the Forms in Plato, it withdraws or penshes rather than unite with its opposite, sadism

However, the transfer of the functions of the father onto the three mother-images is only one aspect of the fantasy. The main

significance of the familiary lies in the concentration of all the maternal functions in the person of the second mother, the oral or "good" mother, it is a mistake to relate masochism to the theme of the bad mother. There are of course bad mothers in masochism (the two extremes of the uterine mother and the Oedipal mother) but this is because the whole tendency of masochism is to idealize the functions of the bad mother and transfer them. in the good mother. The function of prostitution belongs specritically to the uterine, betaeric mother, and is transformed by the sadistic bero anto an institution designed to destroy the Oedipas mother and make the daughter into an accomplice. Although we fine in Masoch and masochism a samilar properatey to prostitute the woman, we should not regard this as proof that sadism and matochism share in a common nature. The important differone out this case is that an masochism the woman assumes the function of prostitution in her capacity as honest woman, the mother in her capacity as the good oral mother. Wanda relates how Masoch persuaded her to look for lovers, to answer advert sements and to prostitute herself. But he justified this desire as totlows: "I sow delightful to find in one's own respectable, honest and good wife a voluptuousness that must usually be sought arriong women of easy virtue." The mother, insofar as she is oral, respectable and pure, must assume the function of prostitute normady reserved for the merine mother. The same is true of the satistic functions of the Oedipal mother: the administration of true ty is taken over by the good mather and is thus profoundly transformed and put to the service of the masochistic ideal of expiation and rebirth. Prostitution should not therefore be regarded as a common feature that links up the two perversions. te fream of in lersa proseculo tri as l'appears in Sade's Società of the friends of crime," is embodied in an objective institution that a one to destroy the mother and give preferment to the daughter

he mother becomes an outcast and the daughter a partner). In Masoch on the contrary the ideal form of prostitution is based in a private contract whereby the masochist persuades his wife in her capacity as good mother, to give herself to other men. If this the oral mother as the ideal of masochism is expected to a some all the functions of the other female figures: In taking on hese functions, she transforms and subunities them. This is why we feel that psychoanalytic interpretations relating masochism to the "bad mother" are of very limited applicabilities.

This concentration of functions to the person of the good oral mother is one of the ways in which the father is cancelled of t, and his parts and functions distributed among the three women The way is thus made clear for the struggle and the epiphany of the three women, which will eventually result in the triumph of the oral woman. In short the three women constructe a symbol c order in which and through which the lather is abo ished in advance - for all time. This eternal, timeless supremacy of the mother can only be expressed in the language of myths, which is therefore essential to masochisms everything has already happened, and the entire action takes place between the mother images (thus the hunt and the conquest of the fur). It is therefore surprising that even the most enlightened psychoanalytic writers link the emergence of a symbolic order with the "name of the father." This is surely to clong to the singularly oranalytics conception of the mother as the representative of nature and the father as sole principle and representative of culture and law. The masochist experiences the symbolic order as an intermaterna. order in which the mother represents the law under certain prescribed conditions; she generates the symbolism through which the masochist expresses himself. It is not a case of mentification with the mother as is mistakenly believed. The threefold division of the mother literally expels the father from the masochistic

universe. In The Suen, Masoch tells the story of a young boy who a lows people to believe that his father is dead merely because he finds it easter and more polite not to dispet a misunderstanding. There is a disavowal of the mother by magnifying her ("symbolically the mother lacks nothing") and a corresponding disavowal of the father by degrading him ("the father is nothing." in other words he is deprived of all symbolic function).

We need therefore to examine more closely the way in which man, the third element, is introduced or reintroduced in the masochistic fantasy. The life and work of Masoch were dominated by the quest for this third party whom he calls "the Greek." However, In Years the character has two aspects. The first or fantasy aspect is efferninate: the Greek is "like a woman... In Paris he has been seen dressed up as a woman, and men were showering him with love letters." The second aspect is virile and marks on the contrary the end of the fantasy and of the masochistic exerclse. When the Greek takes up the whip and thrashes Severin the supersensual charm quickly dissolves: "voluptuous dream, womanand love," all melt away. The novel has a sublime and humorous onding, with Severin giving up masochism and turning sadist. We may therefore conclude that the father, though abolished in the symbolic order, nevertheless continues to act in the order of the reat, 17 or of experience. There is a fundamental law, first formsalated by Jacques Lacan, according to which an object which has been abolished on the symbolic plane resurges in "the real" in a harlucinatory form. 18 The final episode of fenus is a typical instance of the aggressive and hallocinatory return of the father in a world that has symbosically abolished him. Everything in the text suggests that the full "reality" of the scene can only be experienced in a hallucinatory manner: the hallucination in return makes the pursuit or continuance of the fantasy impossible. It would berefore be thoroughly misleading to confuse the fantasy

that comes into play in the symbolic order and the hallucination that represents the securi of what had been symbolically abolished. Theodor Reik quotes a case where all the "magic" vanishes from the masochistic scene because the subject thinks he recognizes in the woman about to strike litin a realt that reminds him of the father.19 (The same thing happens at the end of Venus, and even more strikingly, since here, as a result of the actual substriution of a father figure, the Greek for the torturess, Severn is moved to give up the masochistic aim altogether.) Reik seems to regard the case quoted above as proof that the torturess essencially represents the father and that the mother-image is the rather in disguise - an argument once again in favor of a sadomasochistic enrity. In our opinion the conclusion should be guite the reverse; Reik maintains that the subject is "dist lustoned," but we ought rather to say that he is "dislantasized," fantasy giving way to ha. lucination and a hall-scanatory state. Far from being the truth behind masochism and the conformation of its connection with sadism, the aggressive return of the father disrupts the masochistic situation; it represents the constant threat from the side of reality to the masochust's world and to the detenter that condtion and limit the symbolic world of his perversion. It would be "wild" psychoanalysis to favor this breakdown of his defenses by mistaking the "protest" from external reasity for the expression of an inner reality.

What are the masochistic defenses against both the reality and the hallocination of the father's aggressive return? The masochatic hero must evolve a complex strategy to protect his world of fantasy and symbols, and to ward off the hallocinatory inroads of reality (or to put at differently, the real attacks of hallocination). This procedure which, as we shall see, is constantly used in masochism, is the contract. A contract is established between the hero and the woman, whereby at a precise point in time and for a deter-

refinate period she is given every right over him. By this means the masochist tries to exorcise the danger of the father and to ensure that the temporal order of reality and experience will be a conformity with the symbolic order, in which the father has been abolished for all time. Through the contract, that is through the most tational and temporarily determinate act, the masochist reaches toward the most invitical and the most timeless realms, where the three mother images dwell. Finally, he ensures that he will be beaten; we have seen that what is beaten, humiliated and rediculed in him is the image and the likeness of the father, and the possibility of the father's aggressive return. It is not a child but a father that it being beaten. The masochist thus liberates himself in preparation for a rebirth in which the father will have no part.

But how shall we account for the fact that even in the contract the masochist requires a third party, the Greek? Why should he so ardently desire this third party? The answer is that the Greek, while he undoubtedly evokes the danger of the aggressive return of the father also stands for something more - something of an entirely different kind, namely the hope of a rebirth, the projection of the new man that will result from the masochistic experiment. The Greek is a compound figure combining various etements: when he is idealized he foreshadows the outcome of musochism and stands for the new man; in his sadistic role, by contrast, he represents the dangerous lather who brutall interrupts the experiment and interferes with the not one decays remind ourselves of the fundamental structure of fantasy in general for the art of masochism is the art of fantasy, Fantasy plays on two series, two opposite "margins," and the resonance thus set up gives life to and creates the heart of the fantass. In masothers the two symbolic margins are the uterine mother and the Oedipal mother; between them and moving from one to the other is the oral mother, the cure of the fantasy. The masochist plays

on the ewo extremes and causes them to produce a resonance in the oral mother. He thereby anvests her with an ampritude which repeatedly brings her very close to the figures of her rivals. The oral mother must wrest from the uterine mother her hetaeric functions (prostitution) and from the Oedipal mother her sad stic functions (punishment). At either end of her pendulur the motion, the good mother must contropt the third party: the anonymous uterine mother and the sadistic Oedipal mother. But in point of fact (unless things take a turn for the worse as a result of the hallucinatory return of the father, the third party is never invited or sought after for its own sake, but to be neutral zed by the substitution of the good mother for the oterine and the Oedpal mother. The adventure with Ludwig II adm rably il astrates this: Its comic effect is due to the parties put up by the two characrers in confrontation. 20 When Masoch receives the flix effects from "Anatole" he sincerely hopes that his correspondent is a woman. But he has already planned his parry in case it should be a man, he will introduce Wanda into the a fair and in collision with the third party will get her to perform a hesperic or sad stic function, but In her capacity as good mother. Whereupon Anatole who has other plant, repries with an unexpected parry, and introdoces his handhbacked cousin who is intended to neu ralize Wanda herself, contrary to all Masoch's intentions. The question whether masochism is fernisme and passive and sadism viole and active is only of secondary importance. In any case it arises from the presupposition that sadism and masochism are complementary, the one being the reverse of the other. But sad sm and masochism do not together constitute a single entity, they are not respectively made up of partial ampulses, but each a complete in viself. The masochist's experience is grounded in an all ance between the son and the oral mother; the sad stir in the alliance of father and daughter. In both cases this all ance is confirmed

by the respective disguises. In masochism the masculine impulse is embodied in the role of the son, while the feminine impulse is projected in the role of the mother; but in point of fact the two impulses constitute one single figure; femining is posited as latking in nothing and placed alongside a virility suspended in disavowai (just as the absence of a penis need not indicate lack of the phadus, its presence likewise need not indicate possession of the phallus). Hence in masochism a girl has no difficulty us assuming the role of son in relation to the beating mother who possesses the ideal phallus and on whom rebirth depends. Simisarly, in sadism, it becomes possible for the boy to play the role of a girl in relation to a projection of the father. We might say that the masochist is hermaphrodite and the sadist androgynous.... They represent parastel worlds, each complete in itself, and it is both annecessary and impossible for either to enter the other's world. We cannot at any rate say that they are exact opposites, except Insufar as opposites avoid each other and must either do to or perish. This very opposition tends unfortunately to suggest possibilities of transformation, reversal and combination. Yet there is between sadism and masochism an irreducible dissymmetry: sadism stands for the active negation of the mother and the inflation of the father (who is placed above the lawk masochism proceeds by a twofold disavowal, a positive, idealizing disavowal of the mother (who is identified with the law) and an invalidating disavowas of the lather (who is expelled from the symbolic order).

CHAPTER VI

The Art of Masoch

There is a fundamental aesthetic or plastic element in the art of Masoch, It has been said that the senses become "theoreticians" and that the eye, for example, becomes a human eye when its object (tself has been transformed into a human or cultural object, fashioned by and intended solely for man. Anima, nature is profoundly burt when this transmutation of its organs from the animal to the human takes place, and it is the experience of this painful process that the art of Maioch aims to represent. He calls bis docuring "supersensualism" to indicate this cultural state of transmuted sensuality; thes explains why he finds in works of art the source and the inspiration of his loves. The lover embraces a marble woman by way of initiation, women become exciting when they are indistinguishable from cold statues in the moonlight or paintings in darkened rooms. Hence is set under the sign of Titum, with its mystical play of flesh, for and mirror, and the conjunction of cold, cruelty and sentement. The scenes in Maroch have of necessity a frozen quality, like statues or portraits: they are replicas of works of arc, or else they duplicate themse ves in mittees (as when Severin catches sight of his own reflect on in the mirror).

Sade's heroes, by contrast, are not art lovers, still less collec-

tors. In Juliette, Sade explains who "Ah, if only an engraver could record for posterity this divine and voluntuous scene! But lust, which all too quickly crowns our actors, might not have allowed the artist time to portray them. It is not easy for art, which is motioniesa, to depict an activity the essence of which is movement," Sensuality is movement. In order to convey the immediacy of this action of one soul against another. Sade chooses to rely on the quantitative techniques of accumulation and acceleration, mechanically grounded in a materialistic theory restoration. and internal multiplication of the scenes, precipitation, overdetermination. (The subject is at once parricide, Incestious, murderer, prostitute and sodomite.) We have seen why number, quantity and quantitative precipitation were the specific obsestions of sadum. Masoch, on the contrary, has every reason to rely on art and the immobile and reflective quality of culture. In his view the plastic arts confer an etomal character on their subject because they suspend gestures and attitudes. The whip or the sword that never strikes, the for that never discloses the Resh, the here that is forever descending on the victim, are the expression, beyond all movement, of a profound state of waiting closer to the sources of life and death. The novels of Masoch display the most intense preoccupation with arrested movement; his scenes are frezen, as though photographed, stereotyped or painted. In Kents it is a painter who says: "Woman, goddess...do you not know what it is to love, to be consumed by longing and passion?" And Wanda looms with her has and her whap, adopting a suspended posture, tike a tableou whent "I want to show you another portrait of me, one that I painted myself. You shall copy it." "You shall copy st" suggests both the sternness of the order and the reflection in the mirror.

Watting and suspense are essential characteristics of the masochistic experience. Hence the ritual scenes of hanging, cruci-

fixton and other forms of physical suspension in Masoch's novels. The masuchest is morose, but his moroseness should be related to the experience of waiting and delay. It has often been pointed out that the pleasure-pain complex is insufficient to define masochism; but humiliation, expiation, punishment and guilt are not sufficient either. It is argued, justifiably, that the masochast is not a strange being who finds pleasure to pain, but that he is I ke everyone else, and finds pleasure where others do, the simple difference being that for him pain, punishment or hum has on are necessary prerequisites to obtaining gratification. However, this mechanism remains incomprehensible if it is not related to the form and in particular to the temporal form that makes it possible. Thus it is a mistake to treat the pleasure-pain complex as a raw material able intrinsically to lend itself to any transformation, beginning with the alleged transformat on of sadism into masochum. Formally speaking, nusochism is a state of waiting the masochist experiences waiting in its pure form. Pure wait ing him sing raily into two simultaneous currents, the first representing what is awaited, something essentially tardy, always late and always postponed, the second representing something that is expected and un which depends the speeding up of the awaited object. It is inevitable that such a form, such a rhythin to is sion of time into two streams, should be "filled" by the parsicular combination of pleasure and pain. For at the same time as pain fulfills what is expected, it becomes possible for pleasare to fulfill what is awaited. The masochist wasts for pleasure as something that is bound to be late, and expects pain as the condition that will finally ensure (both physically and mora ty) the advent of pleasure. He therefore postpones pleasure in expectation of the pain which will make gratification possible. The anxiety of the masochist divides therefore into an indefinite awaiing of pleasure and an intense expectation of pain.

Disavowal, suspense, waiting, ferishism and fantasy together make up the specific constellation of masochism. Reality, as we have seen, is affected not by negation but by a disavoral that transposes it into faritasy. Suspense performs the same function in relato in the ideal, which is also relegated to fantasy. Was 10g. represents the unity of the ideal and the real, the form or temporanty of the fantasy. The ferish is the object of the fantasy, the cantasized object par excellence. Consider the following masochis it fantasy: a woman in shorts is pedaling energetically on a stationary bicycle, the subject it lying under the bicycle, the whitring pedals almost brushing him, his palms pressed against the woman's calves. All the elements are conjoined in this image, from the ferishism of the woman's calf to the twofold waiting represecred by the motion of the pedals and the ammobility of the bicycle. We should say, however, that there is no such thing as a spec fically masochistic kind of waiting, but rather that the masochist is morose, by which we mean that he experiences waits ing in its pure form. For example, Masoch arranged to have a healthy tooth pulled our while his wife, dressed in furs, stood before him with a threatening air. What is true of masochistle writing is equally true of masochistic fantasy, there is no specifiearly masochistic fantasy, but eather a masochistic art of lantasy.

The masochitt needs to believe that he is dreaming even when he is not; sadism offers no such discipline in the art of the fantasy. Maurice Blanchot has given an excellent analysis of the positive at the same and the characters in relation a tantasy. The way or the dream consists in projecting the unreal dynamic of his tensuous enjoyment on to characters who are not dreaming but acting. Therefore the more this eroticism is dream, the more it requires a fection from which dreams are excluded and where debaychery is fully actualized. The other words. Sade needs to be reve that he is not dreaming even when he is. In sad-

iso: a powerful force of paranoid projection transforms the fantasy into the instrument of a fundamental and sudden change in the objective world. Clairwil dreams that her wickedness never ceases to impinge on the world even while she is asleep. Hence the pleasure—pain potential characteristic of the fantasy requires for its realization that real characters should experience actual pain, while pleasure accrues to the sadist masmuch as he can continue to dream that he is not dreaming

Julieste gives the following advices "For a whole fortnight abstauts from all losztul behavior; distract and entertain yourselves with other things ... Then lie down in the dark and little by at tle imagine different wanton acts. One of these will affect you more powerfully and become like an obsession, and you must then note it down and promptly put it into action." In this way the fantasy acquires maximum aggressive power, systemat ration. and capacity of intervention in the real worlds the idea is projected with extraordinary riolence. The masochistic use of fantasy is totally different: It consists to neutralizing the real and containing the ideal within the fantasy. In our opinion the difference in the use of the fantasy determines to a certain extent the difference in content. The sad st's destructive relation to the ferish must be interpreted in the light of his projective use of iantasy. To say that the destruction of the fertil implies a bestefun. the ferish (as profanation is said to imply a bel of in the sacred) is to indulge in meaningless generalities. The destruction of the fetish is a measure of the speed with which projection takes place, and of the way in which the dream as such is currinated and the idea erupts into the real waking world. By contrast, the consttution of the fetish in masoch sin paints to the inner force of the fantasy, its characteristic of patient waiting, its suspended and static power, and the way in which the idea, and the real are together absorbed by it

It would seem that the contents of sadism and masochism are each intended to fulf II a form. Variations in the distribut on of the pleasure-pain complex as well as variations in the content of the fantasy (whether the mother or the father is the determinant image) depend on the specific requirements of the form. If we take the material content as our starting point, we solve everything and we arrive besides at the supposed unity of sadism and masochism, but at the price of total confusion. Are given formula for the association of pleasure and pain must take into account certain specific formal conditions (e.g., the form of waiting, the form of projection). "Material" definitions of masochism based on the pleasure-pain complex are insulficient as the logician would say, they are purely nominal, they do not nd cate the possibility of what they define, they do not show that particular conditions must follow. But worse still, they lack distinctive features, and open up the way to all sorts of confusions between sadium and masochism such as the possibility of their reversing into each other "Moral" definitions based on the concepts of guilt and expiation are no better, since they are based on the alleged communication between sadism and masochism (in this sense they are even more moral than they seem). Fundamentally, masochism is neither material not moral, but essentially formal. We need, for the understanding of the workl of perversions in general, a genuinely formal, almost deductive psychoanalysis which would arrend first of all to the formal parterms underlying the processes, viewed as formal elements of fictional art

In the field of formal psychoanalysis as applied to masochism, the work of Theodore Reik deserves special credit. He distroguished four basic characteristics of masochism:

f The "special significance of farstasy," that is the form of the farstasy, the fantasy experienced for its own sake, or the scene which is dreamed, dramatized, ritualized and which is an indisissue element of masochism).

E AS IND MYSOR'S

2. The "suspense factor" (the waiting, the delay, expressing the way in which anxiety affects sexual tension and introducus harge)

 The "demonstrative" or more accurately, the persuasive feature (the particular way in which the masoch at exhibits his as any embarrassment and humiliation).

4. The "provocative fear" (the masochist aggressively demands punishment since it resolves anxiety and above him to enjoy the forbidden pleasure).²²

It is curious that Retk, no less than other analysts, neglects a titth factor which is very important, the form of the contract in the masochistic relationship. In Masoch's personal adventures as well as in his fiction, and in his particular case as well as in the structure of masochism in general, the contract represents the ideal form of the tove-relationship and its necessary precondition A contract is drawn up between the subject and the torturess, giving a new application to the idea of the jurists of an iquity than slavery itself or based on a contract. The masochiat appears to be held by real chains, but in fact he is bound by his word alone. The masochistic contract implies not only the necessity of the victim's consent, but his ability to persuade, and his penagogical and judicial efforts to train his conturer. In the two contracts of Masoch reproduced here (see Appendix II) it is interesting to note how the conditions after from the first to the second contract in the direction of greater strictness: the Bist contract retains a degree of reciprocity of duties, a time limit a preservation of matienable rights (the right of work or the subject's honor the second confers more and more rights on the woman at the expense of the subject, who loses the right to his name, his honor and his life 24 (The contract in Venor changes Severin's name.) The

tightening of the contractual bond indicates that the function of the contract is to lay down the law, which, once established, becomes increasingly cruel and restrictive toward one of the parties (in this case the initiator of the contract). The function of the masochistic contract is to invest the mother-image with the symbolic power of the law. The question remains why a contract is necessary, and why it develops as it does toward extreme severy. In any case there is no doubt that masochism cannot do without a contract, either actual or in the mind of the masochist (as in the phenomenon of pagime²⁴).

We have now seen the two aspects of the "culturism" of Masoch, the aesthetic aspect which is expressed in the model of art and suspense, and the juridical aspect which is expressed in the model of the contract and of submission. By contrast, Sade a not only supremely indifferent to the resources of the work of art, but he regards the construct and any appeal to its authority, or indeed any idea or theory connected with it, with the deepest bost lity. The sailist heaps deriston on the principle of the contract. But having said this, we should not simply oppose the cultura ism of Masoch to the naturalism of Sade. Both authors exhibit a form of naturalism and both distinguish between two natures, but they do not make the same kind of distinction, and above all they have very different views on how the passage from one nature to the other is accomplished. According to Masoch, it is essentially the work of art and the contract that makes poss but the transition from a lower nature to the great Nature, which s servemental and self-conscious. For Sade, on the contrary, the transition from secondary nature to primary nature implies no suspense or system of aesthetics, but an attempt to establish a mechunion of perpetual motion, and with it institutions of perpetual motion. Sade's secret societies, his societies of libertines, are institutional societies; in a word, Sade thinks in terms of "institutrops," Masoch in terms of "the contract." The juridical distincsion between contract and institution is well known: the contract presupposes in principle the free consent of the contracting paretes and determines between them a system of reciprocal rights and duties, it cannot affect a third party and is valid for a limited period. Institutions, by contrast, determine a long-term state of affairs which is both involuntary and matienable; it establishes a power or an authority which takes effect against a third party, But even more significant is the difference between the contract and the institution with respect to what Is known as a lowe the congract actually generates a law, even if this law oversteps and contravenes the conditions which made it possible; the institution is of a very different order in that it tends to render laws unnecessary, to replace the system of rights and duties by a dynamic model of action, authority and power. Saint-Just accordingly demanded that there should be many institutions and few laws, and proclaimed that the Republic could not be a republic so long as laws had the supremacy over Institutions. . 25 In short, the specific impolse underlying the contract is toward the creation of a law. even if in the end the law should take over and impose its authority upon the contract itself; whereas the corresponding impulse at work in the case of the intilitation is toward the degradation of all laws and the establishment of a superior power that rets itself above them.

The affinity of Sade's theorizing with the theme of the institution (as well as with certain aspects of Saint Just's thinking has often been pointed out. But it is not enough to say that Sade's heroes put institutions at the service of their abnormality, or need them as the limits that give full value to their transgressions. Sade's conception of institutions is more positive and profound, and his relation to revolutionary ideology is accordingly a complex one; he rejects any contractual conception of the republican regime

and is even more strongly against the idea of the law. He found in the Revolution what he hated most the law and the contract, which he regards as the two main obstacles that still prevent the French from achieving a true republic. The crux of Sade's political thinking is the contrast he draws between the institution and the law, between a republic based on institutions and one based on contractual relations. Saint-Just pointed out the following inverse relation: the lewer institutions, the greater the number of laws (as in monarchy and despotism); the fewer laws, the greater the number of institutions (the republic). Sade seems to have developed this idea to its ironic and perhaps also its most serious conclusions he asked which institutions would require the tewest possible laws, and ultimately no laws at all (laws "so lent ent and so few, ..."), Laws bind actions; they immubilize and moradas them. Pure institutions without laws would by definition be models of free, anarchic action, in perpetual motion, in permatient revolution, in a constant state of immorably, "Insurrection is not a moral state of affairs, it has nevertheless to be the permanent condition in a republic. It would be both absurd and dangerous to require that those who are to ensure the perpenual subversion of the established machinery should be moral, for the state of a moral man is one of peace and tranquillity, while the state of immuranty is one of perpetual unrest resembling the necessary state of insurrection in which the republican must always keep the government of which he is a member." It would be a wastake to regard the famous text from Philosophy in the Bedroom, "Yet another effort, Frenchmen, if you wish to become Republicans," merely as a paradoxical application of sadam fantasies in the field of politics. The problem that it raises, both on the formal and on the political level, is far more serious and original. It is this. Granted that both the contract and the law are in the nature of mystifications, the law being used by despotism for its own

purposes, and granted that the institution is the only form of political organization that differs essent ady from both aw and contract, where should we look to for the perfect institution the one that hazishes contracts and allows only the barest minimum of laws? In reply, Sade points to the tronic possibility, under these conditions, of making atheism, calumny, theft, prostitution, in est and sodomy — even murder — into institutions, and shows hurbermore that they are necessarily the types of the ideal anstitution, the institution in perpetual motion. He stresses in particular the possibility of instituting universal prostitution and he attempts to reluce the "contractual" objection to such "un versal zat on."

In any case those who contrast Sade's extreme theoretical pronouncements with his very moderate personal stand during the Revolution fall to do justice to his political thought. The opposition that he established between the institution and the contract and its corollary, the opposition between institutions and laws, have become juridical platitudes of positivist thenong, list this is because their original significance and their revolutionary character have been obscured by uneasy compromises. If we wish to recover the original meaning of these oppositions and of the chances and directions they imply, we must return to Sade (and to Saint-Just, who does not give quite the same answers as Saue, There is a profound political insight in Sade's concept on of the revolucionary republic as an institution based on opposition to both law and contract, but this conception is smite through and through because it is sexual and sexualized, as it deliberately to chailenge any attempt to think of politics in legalist c or contractual terms. We should expect to find in Masoch a comparable tour de force, the himorous converse of Sade's. As against the latter's frome conception of the institution based on the rejection of law and contract, and in the context of the Revolution of 1789, we have to consider the humprous con rib. son of Masoch and bis

conception of the relationship between the contract and the law, in the context of the 1848 Revolution. As a result, fundamental problems of rights begin to emerge in their true light even as they become perverted in the work of Sade and Masoch and rumod into Literary elements in a parody of the philosophy of history.

CHAPTER VII

Humor, Irony and the Law

The classical conception of the law found its perfect expression in Plato and In that form gained universal acceptance throughout the Christian world. According to this conception, the law may be viewed either in the light of its underlying principles or in the light of its consequences. From the first point of view, the law itself is not a primary but only a secondary or delegated power dependent on a supreme principle which is the Good of men knew what the Good was, or knew how to conform to it. they would not need laws: the law is only a representative of the Good in a world that the Good has more or less forsaken. Hence, from the point of view of its consequences, obedience to the law is "best," the best being in the image of the Good. The righteous man obeys the laws of the country of his birth or resdence, and in so doing acts for the best, even though he retains his freedom of thought, freedom to think of the Good and for the sake of the Good.

This conception, which it seemingly to conventional, nevertheless conceals elements of irony and humor which made postical philosophy possible, for it allows the free play of thought at the upper and lower limits of the scale of the law. The death of Socrates is an exemplary illustration of this, the laws place their

tate in the hands of the condemned man, and ask that he should sanction, here an porrty by submitting to them as a rational man. There is indeed a great deal of irony in the operation that seeks to trace the laws back to an absolute Good as the necessary princapie of their foundation. Equally, there is considerable humor in the attempt to reduce the laws to a relative Best in order to persuade us that we should obey them. Thus it appears that the notion of law is not self-sufficient unless backed by force, ideally it needs to rest on a higher principle as well as on a consideration of its remote consequences. This may be why, according to the mysterious text in the Phoedo, the disciples present at the death of Socrates could not help laughing. Irony and humor are the essential forms through which we apprehend the law, It is in this essential relation to the law that they acquire their function and their significance. Irony is the process of thought whereby the law is made to depend on an infinitely superior Good, just as humor is the attempt to sanction the law by recourse to an loss nitely more righteous Best

Ortainly not the result of the discovery of the relativity and variability of laws, since these were fully recognized and understood in this conception and were indeed an integral part of it. The true cause must be sought elsewhere. In the Critique of Procuest Region Kant gave a rigorous formulation of a radically new conception, in which the law is no longer regarded as dependent on the Good, but on the contrary, the Good strelf is made to depend on the law. This means that the law no longer has its foundation in some higher principle from which it would derive its authority, but that it is nelf-grounded and valid solely by virtue of its own form. For the first time we can now speak of the Law, regarded as an absorbe, without further specification or reference to an object. Whereas the classical conception only deals with the low accord-

me to the various spheres of the Good or the various circumstances attending the Best, Kant can speak of the moral law, and of its application to what otherwise remains totally undetermined. The moral law is the representation of a pure form and is independent of content or object, spheres of activity or circumstances. The moral law is THE LaW, the form of the law and as such it cannot be grounded in a higher principle. In this sense Kant is one of the first to break away from the classical conception of the law and to give un a truly modern conception. The Copernican revolution in Kant's Cruque of Pure Reason consisted in viewing the objects of knowledge as revolving around the subject; but the Critique of Practical Reason, where the Good is conceived as revolving around the Law, is perhaps even more revalutionary. It probably reflected major changes in the world. It may have been the expression of the ultimate consequences of a return beyond Christianny to Judaic thought, or it may even have foreshadowed a return to the pre-Socratte (Oedipal) conception of the law, beyond to the world of Plato. However that may be Kant, by establishing that THE LAW Is an ultimate ground or principle, added an essential dimension to modern thoughts the object of the law is by definition unknowable and elusive. 26

But there is yet a further dimension. We are not concerned here with the architectorics of Kant's system (and the manner in which he salvages the Good in the system), but with a second discovery which is correlated with and complementary to the first. The law can no longer be grounded on the superior principle of the Good, but neither can it be sanctioned any more by recourse to the idea of the Best as representing the good will of the righteous. Clearly the Law, as defined by its pure form, without substance or object or any determination whatsoever, is such that no one knows nor can know what it is, it operates without making itself known, it defines a reasm of transgression where

one is already guilty, and where one oversteps the bounds without knowing what they are, as in the case of Oedipus. Even guilt
and prinishmen the not left as what the law is builteave it in a
state of indeterminacy equaled only by the extreme specificate
of the prinishment. This is the world described by Katka. The
point is not to compare Kant and Kafka, but to delineate two
dimensions of the modern conception of the law.

If the law is no longer based on the Good as a preexisting, higher principle, and at is valid by virtue of its form alone, the correct remaining entirely undetermined, it becomes unposble is say that the rights of situan obeys the law for the sake of the Best. In other words, the man who obeys the law does not thereby become righteous or feel righteous; on the contrary, he feels guilty and is guilty in advance, and the more strict his obedience, the greater his guilt. This is the process by which the law manifests itself in its absolute purity, and proves us guilty. The two fundamental propositions of the classical conception are overthrown togethers the law as grounded in the further principle of the Good; the law as sanctioned by righteousness. Freud was the first to recognize the extraordinary paradox of the conscience. it is far from the case that obedience to the law secures a feeling of righteousness, "for the more virtuous a man is, the more severe and distrustful" is the behavior of his conscience toward him: Freud goes on to remark on "the extraordinary severity of conscience in the best and most tractable people,"27

Freud resolved the paradox by showing that the renunctation of instinctual gratification is not the product of conscience, but on the contrary that conscience itself is born of such renunciation. Hence it follows that the strength and severity of conscience increases in direct proportion to the strength and seventy of the renunciation. Conscience is heir to the repressed instinctual drives. "The effect of instinctual renunciation on the conscience

there is that every piece of aggression whose satisfaction the subet gives up is taken over by the superego and increases the latter's aggressiveness (against the ego)." We are now in a position to gazzvel the second paradox concerning the fundamentally undetermined character of the law. In Lacan's words, the law is the same as repressed desire. The law cannot specify its object without self-contradiction, nor can it define stself with reference to a content without removing the repression on which it rests. The object of the law and the object of desire are one and the same. and remain equally concealed. When Freud shows that the essential mature of the object relates to the mother while that of desire and the law relates to the father, he does not thereby try to restore a determinate content to the law, he does indeed almost the opposite, he shows how the law, by vartue of its Oetapal origins must of necessity conceal its content in order to operate as a pure form which is the cosult of a renunciation both of the object (the mother) and of the subject (the father).

The classical irony and humor of Plato that had for so long dominated all thinking on the subject of the law are thus turned upside down. The upper and lower limits of the law, that is to say the superior principle of the Good and the sanction of the righteous in the light of the Best are reduced to nothingness. A lithat remains is the indeterminate character of the law on the one hand and the specificity of the punishment on the other, frong and humor immediately take on a different, modern aspect. They still represent a way of conceiving the law, but the law is now seen in terms of the indeterminacy of its content and of the guild he person who submost to the Kalka gives to the nor and mony their full modern significance in agreement with the transformed character of the law. Max Brod recalls that when Kalka gave a reading of The Tool, everyone present, including Kafka himself, was overcome by laughter — as mysterious a phenomenon as the laugh-

ter that greeted the death of Socrates. A spurious sense of tragedy onlis our intelligence, how many authors are distorted by placing a childishty tragic construction on what is more often the expression of an aggressively comic force! The comic is the only possible mode of conceiving the law, in a peculiar combination of trony and humor.

in modern thought irony and burnor take on a new forms they are now directed at a subversion of the law. This leads us back to Sade and Masoch, who represent the two main attempts at subversion, at turning the law upside down. Irony is still in the procers or movement which bypasses the law as a merely secondary power and aims at transcending it toward a higher principle. But what if the higher principle no longer exists, and if the Good can no songer provide a basis for the law or a justification of its power? Sade's answer is that in all its forms - natural, moral and positical - the law represents the rule of secondary nature which Is always geared to the demands of conservation, it is a naurpation of true sovereignty. It is irrelevant whether we see the law as the expression of the rule of the strongest or as the product of the se f-protective union of the weak. Masters and slaves, the strong and the weak, all are creatures of secondary nature; the anion of the week merely favors the emergence of the tyrant, his existence depends on it. In every case the law is a present action t is not a delegated but a usurped power that depends on the infamous complicity of slaves and masters. It is significant that Sade attacks the regime of laws as being the regime of the tyrannized and of the tyrants. Only the law can tyrannize: "I have infinitely less reason to lear my neighbor's passions than the law's injustice, for my neighbor's passions are contained by mine, whereas nothing stops or contains the injustices of the faw." Tyrants are created by the law alone: they flourish by virtue of the law. As Chiga says in Juliette, "Tyrants are never born in anarchy, they only floursh to the shadow of the laws and draw their authority from hem." Sade's hatred of tyranny, his demonstration that the law enall extrement to exist, form the essence of his thinking. The antispeaks the language of the law, and acknowledges no other for he lives "in the shadow of the laws." The heroes of Sade are inspired with an extraordinary passion against tyranny; they speak as the tyranny they speak as the tyranny.

We now note a new attempt to transcend the law, this time no longer in the direction of the Good as superior principle and count of the law, but in the direction of its opposite the dea of Evil, the supreme principle of wickedness, which subverts the an and turns Platonism upside down. Here, the transcendence . the law implies the discovery of a primary nature which is in e er way opposed to the demands and the cule of secondary mature. It follows that the idea of absolute evil embodied is prinature cannot be equated either with tyranny - for tyranny or presupposes laws - or with a combination of whims and arbitra inest; its higher, impersonal model is rather to be found to the anarchic institutions of perpetual motion and permanent revillition. Sade often stresses the fact that the law can only be transcended toward an inscitutional model of anarchy. The fact har anarchy can only exist in the interval between two regimes have non-laws, abolishing the old to give birth to the new, does not prevent this divine interval, this vanishing instant, from terving to its fundamental difference from all forms of the an he reign of laws is pernicious; it is inferior to that of anarchto best proof of this is that all governments are forced to plungt me anarchy when they wish to remake their constitutions." The iaw can only be transcended by virtue of a principle that subverts 4 and denies its power.

While the sadian hero subverts the law, the masochist should

CN PESSA E T

not by contrast be regarded at gladly submitting to it. The ement of contempt in the salunission of the masochist has onen been emphasized: his apparent obedience conceals a criticism and a provocation. He simply attacks the law on another flank. Wha we call humor - in contradistinction to the upward moverness of erony toward a transcendent higher principle - is a downware movement from the law to its consequences. We all know was of twisting the law by excess of real. By scrupulously applying the law we are able to demonstrate its absurdicy and provoke the very disorder that it is intended to prevent or to conjune. By observing the very letter of the law, we refrain from questioning its ultimate or primary character; we then behave as if the supreme sovereignsy of the law conferred upon it the enjoyment of all those pleasures that it denies us; hence, by the closest adherence to it, and by zealously embracing it, we may hope to partake of its pressures. The law is no longer subverted by the upward movement of trony to a principle that overrides it, but by the downward movement of humor which seeks to reduce the faw to its furthest consequences. A close examination of masochistic fantasies or rites reveals that while they bring into play the very strictest appropation of the law, the result in every case is the opposite of what might be expected (thus whipping, far from punishing or preventing an erection, provokes and ensures (t). It is a demonstration of the law's absurdity. The masochist regards the law as a punttive process and therefore begins by having the punishment inflicted upon himself; once he has undergone the purushment, he feels that he is allowed or indeed commanded to experience the pleasure that the law was supposed to forbid The essence of masochistic humor fies in this, that the very law which forbids the satisfaction of a desire under threat of subsequent punishment is converted into one which demands the punishment first and then orders that the satisfaction of the desire

hould necessarily follow upon the punishment. Once more, I neodor Reik gives an excellent analysis of this process, masochis not pleasure in pain, nor even in punishment, at most, the maso, hist gets a preliminary pleasure from pup shment or discontains, his real pleasure is obtained subsequently, to that which a made possible by the punishment. The masochist must undergo ponishment before experiencing pteature. It would be a mistake to confuse this temporal succession with logical causality suffering it not the cause of pleasure itself but the necessary precondition for achieving it. "The temporal reversal points at a reversal of the contents. . The previous 'You must not do that' has been transmitted into 'You have to do that!'. What else but a demanstration of absurdity is aimed at, when the punishment for forbidden pleasure brings about this very same pleasure?"28 The same process is reflected in the other features of masoch am, such as disavowal, suspense and fantasy, which should be regarded as so many forms or aspects of humor. The masochist is insolent in his obsequencess, rebellious in his submission, in thort he is a humorist, a logician of consequences, just as the fronte radist is a logician of principles.

From the idea that the law should not be based on the principle of the Good but on its form alone, the sadist fashions a new method of ascending from the law to a superior principle, this principle, however, in the informal element of a primary nature which aims at the subversion of all laws. In the other modern discovery that the law increases the guilt of the person who submits to it, the masochast in his turn finds a new way of descending from the law to its consequences; he stands guilt on its head by making punishment into a condition that makes possible the forbidden pleasure. In so doing he overthrows the law as tadreally as the sadist, though in a different way. We have seen how these methods proceed, ideologically speaking. The Oed palicontent

which always remains concealed, undergoes a dual transforciation — as though the mother-father complementarity had been shattered twice and asymmetrically. In the case of sadism the tather is placed above the laws; he becomes a higher principle with the mother as his essential victim. In the case of masochism the totality of the law is invested upon the mother, who expels the father from the symbolic realm.

CHAPTER VIOL

From Contract to Rifual

wime authors have stressed the importance of anxiety in masochsan (cf. Reik, Nacht). Punishment, in their view, would only figure so prominently because of its function in resolving anxiety and thereby making pleasure possible. But this explanation fatis to stermine under what particular conditions punishment assumes this anxiety-resolving function, nor especially how anxiety and is gio? I implies are not only "resolved" but, on a more subtle e or are instructed and parodiced to serve the atms of masochlam We must analyze what seems to us the essence of the forms, proiss, namely the transference of the law onto the mother and ar identification of the law with the image of the mother It is only under these conditions that punishment acquires its origiso function and that guilt is transformed into triumph. At first is ht, however, the transference onto the mother would hard v seem to account for the feeling of "relief" inherent in masochism, by there is no reason to expect greater lemency from the side of the sentimental, icy and cruel mother

It is already apparent that in his attempt to derive the law from the contract, the reasochist airth not to mitigate the law but on the contrary to emphasize its extreme severity. For while the conattempties in principle certain conditions, like the free accept-

ance of the parties, a limited duration and the preservation of malienable rights, the law that it generates always tends to for get its own origins and annul these restrictive conditions. Thus the contract-law relationship involves in a sense a mystification. To amagine that a contract or quasi contract is at the origin of suciety is to invoke conditions which are necessarily invalidated as soon as the raw comes into being. For the law, once established, violates the contract in that it can apply to a third party, is valid for an indeterminate period and recognizes no inalienable rights, This process of invandation of the contract by the law is reflected, as we have seen, in the peculiar progression of Masoch's successive sove-contracts, the terms of which become increasingly strict, at if to prepare the way for the law that will eventually override them. Since the law results in our enslavement, we should place enslavement first, as the dreadful object of the contract. One could even say, as a general rule, that in masochism the contract is caricatured in order to emphasize its ambiguous destination. The contract may indeed be said to exemplify the very type of a cu tore-bound relationship that is artificial, Apollonian and virlie, as opposed to the natural, chihonic relations which bind us to the mother and the woman. In the contractual relation the woman typically figures as an object in the patriarchal system. The contract in majorhism reverses this state of affairs by making the woman into the party with whom the contract is entered into. Its paradoxical intention extends even further in that it nvoives a master-slave relationship, and one furthermore in which the woman is the master and tortwer. The contractual basis is thereby implicitly challenged, by excess of zeal, a humorous acceleration of the clauses and a complete reversal of the respecwe contractual status of man and woman. Hence we have once more a sort of demystification of the contract, inasmuch as it is made deliberately to promote slavery and even death at the serv-

at a contract should be initiated, and the power conferred, by the victim himself, that is to say the male party. Sade's ironic actitude to the 1789 Revolution is that the Revolution would remain sterile unless it gave up making laws and set up institutions of perpetual motion; it is paralleled by Masoch's humorous at stude to the revolutions of 1848 and the Panslavic movement his suggests that contracts should be drawn up with a terrible feating, thus ensuring the most sentimental but at the same time are coldest and severest law. (In Live Stones, Masoch considers the problems discussed by the Panslavic congresses and he asks: Will the Slave achieve unity for Russia by getting rid of the Tsarist regame or should they aim for a strong State under the rule of a Tsarina of genius?)²⁹

What does the victim expect by entering into this extreme form of contract with the mother? The aim is seemingly naive and straightforward. The masochistic contract excludes the father and displaces onto the mother the task of exercising and applying the paternal law. But we have seen that the mother is both stern and cruel. The problem, however, should be stated delerently: the same threat which, when experienced at coming from the father and linked to his image, has the effect of preventing incest, has the reverse effect when entrusted to the mother and associated with her image: it then makes meest possible and ensures its success. Here the transference is very effective. As a general rule castration acts as a threat preventing incest or a punishment that controls it, it is an obstacle to or a chassisement of incest. But when it is linked with the image of the morner the eastration of the son becomes the very condit on of the success of incest: incest is assimilated by this displacement to a second birth which dispenses with the father's role. "Interrupted ove" is an important feature of masochism to which many authors have

drawn attention, its function is to facilitate the masochist's identalication of sexual activity with both incest and second birth a process which not only saves him from the threat of castration buactually turns castration into the symbolic condition of success.

The masochistic contract generates a type of law which leads straight into ritual. The masochist is obsessed; ritualistic activty is essential to him, since it epitomizes the world of fan-ass. Three main types of rite occur in Masoch's novels: hunting rites, agricustural rites and rites of regeneration and rebirth. They echn the three fundamental elements: the cold, that requires the conquest of the fur, the trophy of the bunt, the buried sentimental. ty and sheltered focundity which agriculture demands, together with the strictest organization of work, and finally that very element of strictness, that onsel rigor which regeneration and reberth demand. The coexistence and interaction of these three rites sum. up the mythical complex of masochism. We find at again and again, variously embodied throughout the work of Masoch: the ideas woman hunts the bear or the wolf, she organizes or presides over an agricultural community; she makes man undergo a procesa of reboth. The last would appear to be the essential rite in which the other two culminate and from which they derive their function in the totality of the myth.

In Wolf and She-wolf the heroine asks her suitor to let himne f be sewn into a wolf's skin and to live and howl and be hunted
like a wolf. The ritual hunt is instrumental to rebirth, by taking
possession of the trophy of the primitive, acquires the power to
ord mother, that is to say the oral mother, acquires the power to
bring about a rebirth. This second birth is independent both of
the father and of the uterine mother; it is a parthenogenesis, know
gives a detailed description of an agrar an rive the Negresses
"led me to a vineyard that as a ong the south side of the gar len
Maize had been planted between the vines and a few dry heads

were still standing; a plough had been left there. The blackamoors the me to a stake and amused themselves by pricking me with a liden harrpins. But this did not last song, for Wanda appeared with her ermine toque, her hands in the pockets of her jacke she told shem to until me and fasten my hands bettind my back. Then she had a yoke laid on my shoulders and a was harnessed to her plough. The black demons pashed me into the field, one a were the plough, the other led me on a leash, and the bard a said me with the whip, while Venus in Furs stood by watching the scene."

The three Negresses stand for the three mother images; we no ice, however, that the oral mother is spit the occurs twice, as e as an element in the triad, on a par with the other women, an afterward outside the triad, presiding over it, having appropriated and transformed all the functions of the other women order to serve the theme of rebirth. Everything points to a parthenogenesis, the macriage of the vine and the matze , the looystan element and the female agrarian community; the proceduring union with the mother; the proprieting and is whipping, representing parthenogenetic stimulation; the new Sinh of the son drawn out by the rape. We have once more the theme of the choice between the three mothers, the oscillating soment of the pendulum, and the final absorption of both the uterioe and the Oedipal mothers by the triumphant oral nother. She is mistress of the Law - what Masoch calls, he law of the commune, in which the hunting, the agrarian and the matrias hal elements become fully integrated. The aterine mother, the humer, is herself hunted down and despoiled, the Qed pal mother, or mother of the shepherd, already integrated in the patriarchal system (as victim or as accomplice) at I kewise sacrificer-The oral mother alone remains triumphane; she is the common essence of agriculture, matriarchy and rehirth. Hence the dream

of agrarian communism which recurs throughout the work of Masoch and underties his "blue tales of happiness" (Marcella, The Paradise on the Dineste, The Aesthetics of Ugliness). A deep bond is torged between the commune, the law of the commune embodised in the oras mother, and the man of the commune, who can only be born by being reports of the oral mother.

The two principle male figures in Masoch's work are Cam and Christ. Their sign is the same, the sign of Cam prefiguring the sign of the cross which used to be written as X or as +. That Carn should occupy such an important place in the work of Masoch has a very wide range of significance. He symbolizes in the farm place the omnipresence of crime in nature and history, and the immensity of man's sufferings ("My punishment is more than I can bear"). But beyond this, there is the fact that Cain is a "follerof the ground" and the favorite of the mother: Eve greeted in birth with cries of joy, but did not rejoice at the birth of Abel, the "keeper of sheep," who is on the father's side. The mother's tayorite went so far as to commit a crime to sever the alliance between the father and the other som he killed his father's likeness and made Eve into the goddess-mother (Herman Hesse's strange novel, Demian, Interweaves Nietzschean and masochistic themes: the mother-goddess is identified with Eve, a female g ant who bears on her forehead the mark of Cain.) Masoch is attracted to Coin not only because of the forments he suffers but acco by the very crime that he commits. His crime should not be regarded as a radomasochistic archetype, for the entire project falls squarely within the world of masochism, with its attendant teatures of loyalty to the maternal rule, dedication to the oral mother, expulsion of the father, and its elements of humor and provocation. Cain's "heritage" is a "mark"; his punishment by the Father represents the aggressive, ballucinatory return of the latter so much for the first episode. The second episode is the story

Those the likeness of the father is once more abolished. ("Why hast thou forsiken me?"), but here it is the Mother who crue has he Son; in the masochistic elaboration of the Marian fantasy, the Vire is a person pair Christ on the cross this is Masorins vers in a "the death of God." By putting him on the cross and thus placing him under the same sign as the son of Eve, the Vire in armes in the aim of the mother-goddess, the great oral mother she consures the parthenogenetic second birth of the ton in his resurse, from But again, it is not the son who dies so much as God he Faiber, that is the likeness of the faiher in the son. The cross the material image of death, the mirror in which the nascessistic self of Christ (Cain.) apprehends his ideal self (Christ resurrected.)

But we may wonder why there should be so much pain in both axes and why expration should be the necessary precondition of the second birth. Why should such a terrible punishment be intelected upon Cain, and why should Christ's torture be so unboar-4.4.7 Why is Christology an all-pervasive element in the work of Mar is 3 While Sade was concerned above all with rationa istic id atheistic, masonle and anarchistle societies, Masoch gave bis a ention to the mystical agrarian sects of his day (such as those for ad in the Austrian Empire). Two of his novels. The Pisher of souts and The Mother of God actually deal with these sects; they at a rong he finest. Their rarefled and stifling atmosphere, the r intense portrayal of willingly accepted torture is unparalle ed except in the best works of H. H. Ewers, who also specialized in vects (The Sorcerer's Apprentice). The story of The Mother of God is o follows: The heroine, Mardona, rules over her sect or commune a manner that combines the qualities of tenderness, severity and coldness. She is wrathful and orders people to be whopped or

staned; yet she is gentle. Indeed the whole sect is gentle and gay.

through intolerant of sinfumess and hostile to disorder. Marriona

C SCHOOL P. S.

has a servant girl, Nimfodora, a graceful, melancholy maiden who gashes her arm so that the Mother of God may bathe in the blood. drink of it and thus gain eternal youth. Sabadil loves Mardona but he loves Nizofodora too, though in a different way. Mardona is disturbed by this, and speaking as the Mother of God, she says to Sabadal: "It is the love of the Mother of God that brings reck man tion and gives new birth to man. .. I have not succeeded in changing your flesh and transforming your carnal love into divine affection.... I have become to you nothing more than a judge She asks that he consent to be corrured, and orders him to be natived to the cross. Numfodors nails down his hands and Mardona his feet. Mardona then enters into a painful ocstasy, while at nighttail Sabadil enacts the Passion of Christ. To Mardona he cries. "Why has thou forsaken me?" and to Nimfodora, "Why has thou betrayed me?" The Mother of God must cruest, her some order that he should truly become her son and enjoy the protection at a rebitth from her asone

"At last I have succeeded in making a man of you. Not arther I've Divorced Woman, Anna longs to be worthy of her task, to whip Johan and to be able at last to say to him: "You have been through the ordeal, now you are a man." The theme recurs again in a fine short story where Masoch recounts the life of a seventeenth century Mestiah, Sabattat Zwi. Sabattat Zwi is a cabbalist and fanatic given to self-mortification be marries to all, but does not consummate the union; he tests her, "You will be by my side, as a gentle forture." On the order of the tabbis he leaves her for Hannah, and repeats his previous behavior with her. He finally marries Miniam, a young Polish Jewess, but she anticipates him and forbids him to touch her. In love with Miniam, he leaves for Constantinople, where he tries to convioce the Sultan of his Messianic mustion. Meanwhile, whole cities are swept with

enthusiasms, he has already won over Salonika, Smyrna, Ca ro. his party: has even spread as far as Europe. He leads a determined bat-Je against the rabbis, and announces to the Jews the return to Judia. The Sultana is displeased and informs Miriam that she will have Sabattan pur to death if he does not mend his ways. Meriam then orders him to bathe at the confluence of the three rivers, Area Tuntcha and Narisso. One cannot help recogn zing in the have rivers and to the three wives of Sabaytas the three motherin ages, and in Miriam the oral mother, who triumphs over them. sy am makes bem confess to her, crowns him with horns and as ps him, and finally consummates the marriage. "Woman, what has you done to me?" "I have made a man of you." The next may, summaned by the Sultan, he recants and becomes a Mus-.m. Thereupon, his numerous followers, even among the Turks. dec a e that the Messiah can only appear in a perfectly virtuous aread or else in a totally evil one, and since apostasy is the worst or all cities, they do are that they will all become apostaces to sevenithe monget the Messian

You are not a man, I am making a man of you?" What does not more to be the the fact, or to take his place. On the contrary, it consists in oblitating his role and his likeness in order to generate the new man. The tortures are in effect directed at the father, or at his likeness in the son. We argued earlier that the misochistic fantasy is less an instance of "a child being heaten" than of a fother being heaten in many of Masoch's tales, it is the master who undergoes the tortures thus in Theodoro or The Living Bench, in the course of a post-are revolt led by the women of the commune, the master is harms seed to the plough side by side with the ox, or it used as a beach to sit upon. When the torture is inflicted upon the hero hat is to say the son, the lover or the child, we should conclude

grade than the second

that what is beaten, foresworn and sacrificed, what is ritually expiated, is the father's likeness, the geniral sexuality inherited from the father — however miniaturized he may be. This is the real "Apostasy." To become a man is to be reborn from the woman alone, to undergo a second birth. This is why castration, and the "interrupted tove" which represents castration, cease to be an obstacle to or a publishment of incest, and become instead a precondition of its success with the mother, since it is then equated with a second, autonomous and parthenogenetic reborth. The masochist practices three forms of disamowal at once; the first plagnifies the mother, by attributing to her the phallus instrumental to reborth; the second excludes the father, since he has no part in this reborth; and the third relates to sexual pleasure, which is interrupted, deprived of its genitability and transformed into the preasure of being reborn.

The final objective of Masoch's work expresses itself in the myth that embraces both Cain and Christ: Christ is not the son of God but the new Man, his likeness to the father is abolished, he is "Man on the Cross, who knows no sexual love, no property, no fatherland, no cause, no work...."

We were not able to give material definitions of mainchism, since the combinations of pleasure and pain in a specific sensual experience imply certain formal conditions which cannot be ignored without confusing everything, especially sadism and manochism. Nor is a moral definition of masochism in terms of guilt any more adequate. Guilt and expiation (no less than a particular pleasure-pain combination) are genuinely and deeply experienced by the masochist, but here again the important point is to know in what form guilt is experienced. The depth and intensity of a fee ing is not affected by the uses which it may be made to serve.

n, suding even parody, the nature of the feeting, however, we - correspondingly. The psychoanalytic statement to the effect , 181 the masochist experiences guilt in relation to the sather (in Resk's words, since the punishment comes from the father, the rims must have been committed against the father), clearly leads the creation of an arbitrary etiology which is sofely determined by the tendency to derive masochism from sadism. There is no or that the masochist lives in the very depths of guilt, bu, far is as feeling that he has sinned against the lather, it is the father's kenese in him that he experiences as a sin which must be atoned or, Hence guilt is turned completely upside down; it is both at as deepest and its most absurd. It is an integral part of the masoblat's triumph, and ensures his liberation, Indeed it is indistinguishable from humor. It is quite inadequate to say, as Reik dues that the punishment resolves the anxiety arising from guilt, and was a possible the forbidden pleasure. The humor which chara terizes the masochust's predicament to already at work in the very winstry of his sense of guilt, no less than in the severity of the passishment, for it is the father who is guilty in the son, not the on in relation to the father. Masochism in its material aspects, a a phenomenon of the senses (i.e., a certain combination of pain and pleasure); in its moral aspects it is a function of feeling or withment. But beyond all sensation or feeling there is a third , a superpenonal element that animates the masochist, this is the story in which he relates the triumph of the oral mother, he abolition of the lather's likeness and the consequent birth of is new man. Of course the masochist must use his body and his wal to write this story, but there is nevertheless a formal masochism which preexists physical, sentual or material masochism. just as there is a dramatic masochism before any moral or sentmental masochism. Hence the theatrical impression which is conveyed at the point where the masochist's feelings are at their

deepest and his pain and sensation most intensely experienced,

We have traced a progression from the contract to the m th. through the intermediary of the law; for the law transcends his contract but leads us straight into ritual and myth. By means or the contract the paternal function of applying the law was tranferred to the mother, resulting in the most radical transformation of the law. The law now ordains what it was once intended to forbid; guilt absolves instead of leading to atonement, and punishment makes permissible what It was intended to chastise. The taw has become essentially maternal, leading into those regions of the unconscious where the three images of the mother hold supreme sway. The contract represents a personal act of will on the part of the masochist, but through the contract, and the views a tudes of the law that issues from it, the masochist is led back 1 to the impersonal realm of fate, which finds expression in the myth and in the rites that we have described. The situation that the masochist establishes by contract, at a specific moment and for a specific period, is already fully contained timelessly and ritually in the symbolic order of masochism. For the masochism, the modern contract as it is elaborated in the bedroom corresponds to the oldust rites once enacted in the swamps and the steppes. The novels of Masoch reflect this twofold history and bring out the identity between its most modern and its most ancient forms.

CHAPTER IX

Psychoanalysis and the Problem of Masochism

relation to the duality of the sexual and the ego-instancts, in second in relation to the duality of the sexual and the ego-instancts, in second in relation to the duality of the life and the death in facts. Both accounts tend to treat sadomasochism as a particular entity within which transitions occur from one composit to the other. We want to examine to what extent these two sounts are really different, to what extent they both imply a to reformist" attitude on the part of Freud, and finally to what has not the hypothesis of a duality of instincts limits in both cases the "transformist" argument.

In the first account, massochism is seen as deriving from sudism by a process of reversal. Every tostinct is thought to include aggressive components which are directed upon its object and new scary to the realization of its aim; sadism would, in this view, have its origin in the aggressive component of the sexual instincts, in the course of a scheed oppoint one aggressive-sadis, in component may become conditioned in such a way that it is turned around against the subject's own self. The factors determining this around around are of two main types: aggression against the father and mother may be turned around upon the scale ther under the effect of "fear of loss of love" or as the result of guilt-feelings timked with the formation of the superego). These two conditions of masochistic "turning around" are quite distinct—as B. Grunberger pointed out—the first having a pregential and the second an Oedipal source. ³³ But in either case the father-image and the mother-image have very unequal roles, for even though the transgression bears on the person of the mother, its essential object must still be the father: he is the one who possesses the penis, the one whom the child wishes to castrate or to kill; he is the one who punishes, and who must be placated by this process of turning around. Hence, in every case, the father-image scenes to have a pivotal role

it soon becomes apparent, for a variety of reasons, that masochism cannot simply be defined as a form of sadium turned around upon the self. The first reason is that the process of turnng around is necessarily accompanied by a descuolization of lebidinat aggression, that is the relinquishing of specifically sexual atms. Frend shows in particular that the formation of the superego or conscience, which marks the resolution of the Oedipus complex. implies the desexualization of the fatter. In this sense it is possiple to conceive of a reversal of sailism, with the superego acting tadistically upon the ego without the ego Itself heing masochistic. There is no masochism proper without a reactivation of the Oedipus compiex, hence without a "resexualization" of the conscience. Masochism is characterized not by guilt-feelings but by the desire to be punished, the purpose of masochism being to resolve guilt and the corresponding anxiety and make sexual gratfication possible. Hence masochism should be defined less by the process of turning around itself than by the resexualization of the aggression turned opon the self in this process.

he second reason is concerned with another and quite distine's aspect of masochistic sexualization, namely its specific "erogenicity." We may well grant that punishment should resolve

or satisfy feelings of guilt, but this only constitutes a pre im pary as abuse of a monal nature that merely prepares for sexual pleasor makes it possible, it does not explain how sexual preasure as Jully occurs in association with the physical pain of punishment. The fact is that the process of sexualization could never colminate without a particular masochistic erogenicity. For this we require some material basis, some pecudar sink which the many hist experiences between his pain and his sexual pleasure. and suggested the hypothesis of "libidinal sympathetic coexitation," according to which processes and excitations ivera pping certain quantitative fimits become erotically charged. 40. It a hypothesis recognizes the existence of an irreducible mas-" histic basis. This is why Freud, even in his first interpretation, s not content with saving that musochism is a reversed form of sadism, he also maintains that sadism is a projected form of maswhism, since the sadist can only take pleasure in the pain he notices upon others to the extent that he has himself experienced maso, hist ands" the link between pleasure and pain. Freud nevwith ress ma many the primacy of sadism, while distinguishing between (1) a purely aggressive sail sm, (2) a turning around of at sm upon the self, (3) the musochistic experience and (4, a ardonistic sadism. But even if one maintains that the intermediary masochistic experience presupposes a turning around of aggression upon the self, this turning around must be regarded as one of the conditions for discovering the masoch stic experience of a link between pain and pleasure, and cannot be said to constitute this link - the very possibility of which must point to a specific masochistic basis. 34

There is yet a third reason: the process of turning around upon the self may be regarded as a reflexive stage, as in obsessiona. The tosis ("I punish myself"), but since masochism implies a passive stage ("I am punished, I am beaten"), we must infer the existence

in masochisms of a particular mechanism of projection through which are external agent is made to assume the role of the subjec-This third reason is clearly connected with the first resexualization is inseparable from projection (conversely the reflexive stage is indicative of a sadistic superego which remains desenualized). It is in terms of this projection that psychoanalysis tries to account for the role played by the mother-image. Since, according to the theory, the masochist's aim is to escape from the consequences. of the transgression against the father, he proceeds to identify with the mother and offers himself to the father as a sexual objects however, since this would in turn renew the threat of castration which he is trying to avert, he chooses "being beaten" both as exorcism of "being castrated" and as a regressive substitute of "being loved"; at the same time the mother takes on the role of the person who beats, as a result of repression of the homosex. cal choice. Asternatively, the subject shifts the blame on the mother ("It is not I, it is she who wishes to castrate the father"), either in order to identify with the bad mother under the cover of projection and thus take possession of the father's penis (perverse masochism); or else, on the contrary, to make any such ident lication impossible by maintaining the projection and substituting himself as the victim (moral masochismi "It is not the tather, it is I who am castrated"),35

For these various reasons we must reject as inadequate the formula "sadism turned around upon the self" as a definition of masuchism. It needs to be supplemented by three other considerations; the sadism must be (1) resexualized, (2) the resexualization must be grounded in a new erogenicity and (3) the sadism must be projected. These three determinations correspond to the three aspects of masochism which Freud distinguishes even in his first interpretation; an erotogenic aspect, as a basis for sexual excitation, a passive aspect, accounting in a very complex manner

both for the projection onto the woman and for the identifica-1100 with her, and a moral aspect or sense of guilt, to which the process of resexualization is retated. * But the question is whether we save the freudian theory by supplementing it in this way or whether on the contrary we severely affect its validity. Reik, who maintains throughout the idea that masochism is derived from adism, nevertheless points out that masochism "springs from the dimal that meets the sadistic instinctual impulse and develops com the sadistic, aggressive or defiant phantasy which replaces readity. It remains incomprehensible as long as one assumes its 1-martion directly from radism by a facing about against the ego-March as psychoanalysts and sexologists may oppose such an opinion, I maintain that the birthplace of masochism is phantasy."37 n other words, the nusochist has renounced his sudistic impulse. even turned around upon himself. What he does is to neutral zehis sadism in fancesy, substituting his dream for action; hence the primary importance of fantasy. Given these conditions the . Jence that the masochitt Inflicts or causes to be inflicted upon himself can no longer be called satisfic, since it is based on his particular type of suspension. The question, once more is whether we can still affirm the principle of a derivation when the a rivation has ceased to be direct and therefore disproves the reperhests of a straightforward turning around

Freud maintains that no direct transformation can take place between impulses or instincts that are qualitatively distinct; their a litative difference precludes any transition from one to the other. This is certainly true of the sexual and the ego instincts. Undoubtedly sadism and masochism, like any other psychic formations, represent particular combinations of the two instincts, but any "passage" from one combination to the other as, for Instance, from sadism to masochism, can only occur by a process of desexualization and resexualization. In masochism the

ocus or theater of this process is fantasy. Are we to say that he same subject participates in both sadistic and masochistic seams auty, given that the one implies the desexualization of the other Is this descaualization an actual process experienced by the indeed chist (in which case a transition could be said to occur, how year indirectly), or is it on the contrary a structural presupposition of masochism which severs it from all communication with radius. When we are given two stories, it is always possible to bridge ringaps that separate them, but in the process we arrive at a tistory of a different quality from the other two. The psychola a yrical theory of sadomasochism appears to be doing just this for instance, the image of the father, in view of its importance in sadism, is regarded as still continuing to operate in masochism. disguised under the mother-image and determining its role. This method has a serious drawback in that It displaces the emphasis. and gives critical importance to secondary factors. For example, the theme of the bad mother does indeed appear in masochism. but only as a marginal phenomenon, the central position being occupied by the good mother; it is the good mother who porseises the phabus, who beatt and humiliates the subject or even prostatutes herself. If we ignore this and give prominence to the bad mother, it is all too easy to reestablish the link with the tather, and the corresponding link between sadism and masochism. The existence of the good mother, on the other hand, mpites the existence of a gap or blank which stands for the aboation of the father in the symbolic order. Again, while the sense of guilt has great importance in masochism, it acts only as a cover, as the humorous outcome of a guilt that has already been subverted: for it is no longer the guilt of the child toward the father, but that of the father himself, and of his likeness in the childhere again we come across a "blank" which is hurriedly filled in by psychoanalysis for the purpose of deriving masochism from sad-

isin. The fallacy is to treat as an ongoing process a state of affairs which must already obtain, which must atready be presupposed for masochism to be possible. When guilt is experienced "maso-. sucally," it is already distorted, arcificial and ostentatious, milarly, the father is experienced as already aboushed symbage at Introducto 11 in he gaps between masterbase are sail m we are liable to fall into all kinds of misapprehensions, both the pretical and practical or therapeutic. Hence our contention hat masochism can be defined neither as erotogenic and sensuous ik-asure-pain), nor as moral and sendmenta) (guilt-punishmen, k can be a these to me one mp see the possibility of any manner transfermation. Masoch smits above a Lifermal and eracial this means that its peculiar pleasure-pain complex is determined In a particular kind of furmalism, and its experience of guilt by a secure story. In the field of pathology every disturbance is charreterized by "gaps" and it is only by grasping at the structures Immarcated by these gaps and taking the greatest care not to fill in in that we may hope to avoid the illusions of "transformsus," and to make progress to our analysts of the disturbance

Donbts about the unity and intercommunication of radism and marochism are further reinforced when we come to Fraud's around interpretation. The qualitative duality is now that of the 1-r and the death instinct. Fros and Thanatos. Let us immediately note, however, that the Death Instinct, which is a pure principle, can never be given as such all that is given or can be given as combinations of the two instincts is given or can be given as combinations of the two instincts ways, depending on whether, under the action of Eros, it is turned outward nadism) or whether part of it remains as a residue libidinally "bound" within the organism (masochism). In the latter case we have masochism of the crotogenic type, which is primary and no longer derived from sadism. Nevertheless, we reencounter the previous

theory in terms of "turned around" sadism which produces the other types of masochism (the passive and the moral), and we are faced once again, even more starkly, with our previous doubts. For it now appears that the passage from sadism to masochism implies not only the process of desexualization and resexualization but equally a defusion of instincts as well as their combination. Both sadism and masochism imply that a particular quantity of libidina) energy be neutralized, desexualized, displaced and put at the service of Thanatos. Thus we never have a direct transformation of one instinct into another, but a "displacement of cathectic energy." This is what Frend means by "defusion." He isolated two fundamental occurrences of defusion, narcessism and the formation of the superego. The whole problem lies in the nature of these processes of defusion and in how they are related to the combination of the instancts (fusion). Everywhere we meet with a comb nation of the two instincts, but at the same time defusoon is at work everywhere.

CHAPTER X

The Death Instinct

ad the ortings at cread the mast up we which we know as he and the Pleasure Principle is perhaps the one where he engaged most directly - and how penetratingly - in specifically philosoph-» al reflection. Philosophical reflection should be understood as anse endental," that is to say concerned with a particular kind of investigation of the question of principles, it soon becomes some ent that in Beyond the Housing Principle, Freud is not really area upied with the exceptions to that principle, they are not "but he means by the "beyond" of the titte. All the apparent exceptions which he considers, such as the unpleasure and the re towness which the reality principle imposes on us, the conflicts which cause what is pleasurable to one part of us to be fe. as unpleasure by another, the games by means of which we try to reproduce and to master impleasant experiences, or even those functional disturbances or transference phenomena from which we learn that wholly and unequivocally unpleasurable events are nevertheless reproduced with obstinate regularity - all these are treated by Freud as merely apparent exceptions which could star be reconciled with the pleasure principle. In other words there are no exceptions to the principle - though there would indeed seem to be some rather strange complications in the workings of pleasure. This is precisely where the problem arises, for though nothing contradicts the pleasure principle and everything, an always be reconciled with it, it is far from obvious that it can account for all the various elements and processes which go the make its application so complicated. Everything might well be governed by the pleasure principle without therefore being finally dependent on it, and since the demands of the reality principle are no more adequate to account for the complications involved, these being more often the products of fantasy, we must conclude that the pleasure principle, though it may rule over all, does not have the final or highest authority over all. There are no exceptions to the principle but there is a residue that is irreducible to t, nothing contradicts the principle, but there remains something which falls outside it and is not homogeneous with it = something in short, beyond

At this point we need to resort to philosophical reflection. What we call a principle or law is, in the first place, that which governs a particular field; it is in this sense that we speak of an empirical principle or a law. Thus we say that the pleasure principle governs life universally and without exception. But there Is another and quite distinct question, namely in virtue of what is a field governed by a principle; there must be a principle of another kind, a second-order principle, which accounts for the necessary compliance of the field with the empirical principle. It is this second-order principle that we call transcendental. Pleasare is a principle insofar as it governs our psychic life. But we must at II ask what is the highest authority which subjects our psychic life to the dominance of this principle. Already Hume had remarked that though psychic life clearly exhibits and distinguishes between pleasures and pains, we could never, no matter how exhaustively we examined our ideas of pain and pleasure, derive from them a principle in accordance with which we seek

preasure and avoid pain. We find freud saying much the same as continually encounter pleasures and pains in psychic life, but her are found scattered here and there in a free state, "unbound." The the pleasure principle should nevertheless be so organized nut we systematically seek pleasure and avoid pain makes it imperative that we should look fur a higher type of explanation For there is in short something that the pleasure principle cannot as sunt for and that necessarily falls outside at, namely its own ammoular status, the fact that it has dont nance over the whole it psychic life. In virtue of what higher connection - what "bindog" power - is pleasure a principle, with the dominance that it has? Freud's problem, we may say, is the very opposite of what it s often supposed to be, for he is concerned not with the exceptions to the principle but with its foundation. His problem is a transcendental one: the discovery of a transcendental principle -a problem, as Freud puts it for "speculation."

Frend's answer is that the binding of excitation alone makes it "resolvable" into pleasure, that is to say makes its discharge possible. Without the process of binding, discharges and preasures would still no doubt occur har only in a scattered, hapharard manner, with no systematic value. It is the binding process which makes pleasure as the principle of mental life possible. Eros thus emerges as the foundation of the pleasure-principle behind the ewin aspects of the binding process - the energetic which binds excitation, and the biological which binds the cells (the first being perhaps dependent on, or at least he ped by specially favor able conditions obtaining in the second). The "binding" act on of Erris, which is constitutive of the pleasure principle may, and indeed must, be characterized at "repet fron" - repetition in respect of excitation, and repetition of the moment of life, and the necessary union - necessary indeed even in the case of uncellular organisms.

It is in the nature of a transcendental inquiry that we cannot break it off when we please. No sooner have we reached the condir on or ground of our principle than we are hurled head conbeyond to the absolutely unconditioned, the "ground-less" from which the ground itself emerged. Musil wrote: "What fearful power, what awesome divinity is repetition! It is the pull of the void that drags us deeper and deeper down like the ever-widening gullet of a whirlpool. For we knew it well all along: it was none other than the deep and sinful fall into a world where repetation drags one down lower and lower at each step-11. "38 We remarked earlier that repetition characterized the binding process masmuch as it is repetition of the very moment of excitation, the moment of the emergence of life; repetition is what holds together the instant, it constitutes simultaneity. But Inseptrable from this form of the repetition we must conceive of another which in its turn repeats what was before the instant before excitation disturbed the indifference of the inexcitable and ife stirred the manimate from its sleep. How indeed could excitation be bound and thereby discharged except by this double action of repetition, which on the one hand binds the excitation and on the other tends to eliminate (t? Beyond Eros we encounto. Thanatos, beyond the ground, the abyis of the groundless; beyond the repetition that links, the repetition that erases and destroys. It is hardly surprising that Freud's writings should be so complex, sometimes he suggests that repetition is one and the same agency, acting now demonically, how beneficiently, in Thanatos and in Eros; elsewhere he contradicts this by insisting on the strictest qualitative difference between Eros and Thanatos, the difference being that between union, the construction of ever arger units, and destruction; elsewhere again he tones down the strictly dualistic hypothesis by suggesting that what probaby underlies the qualitative difference is a difference in rhythm

and amplitude, a difference on a time-scale - according as reperation is repetition at the origination of ale, or before at should be understood that repetition as conceived by Freud's genrus is in and of itself a synthesis of time. a "transcendental" synthesis. It is at once repetition of before, during and after, that is to say it is a constitution in time of the past, the present and even the future. From a transcendental viewpoint past, present and future are constituted in time simultaneously, even though, from the natural standpoint, there is between them a quantitative diference, the past following upon the present and the present upon the future. Hence the threefold determination which we brought out in Freud's treatment, a montsm, a qual tative dual im and a difference in rhythm. If it is possible to add the future (i.e., ofter) to the other two dimensions of repetition (s.o. before and during). is is because these two correlative structures cannot constitute the aviithesis of time without immed ately opening up to and making for the possibility of a future in time. To repetition that binds - constituting the present - and repetition that erases constituting the past - we must add a third, that saves or fall it to save, depending on the modes of combination of the other two. Hence the decisive role of transference as a progressive repetition which liberates and saves - or fails.

We saw that repetition came before the pleasure principle as the unconditioned condition of the principle. If we now return to experience, we find that the order is reversed, and repetition sub-ordinated to the principle, it is now at the service of the pleasure since we tend to repeat what has been found to be pleasurable or is anticipated to be. Our transcendental inquiry showed that while Eros is what makes possible the establishment of the empirical pleasure principle, it is always necessarily and inseparably linked with Thanatos. Neither Eros nor Thanatos can be given in expenence, all that is given are combinations of both — the role

of Eros being to hind the energy of Thanatos and to subject these combinations to the pleasure principle in the id. This is why Eros, airbough it is no more given in experience than Thanatos, at least makes its presence felt, it is an active force. Whereas Thanato the ground-less, supported and brought to the surface by Eros, remains essentially silent and all the more terrible. Thanatos to its an absolute. And yet the "no" does not exist in the unconscious because destruction is always presented as the other side of a construction, as an instinctual drive which is necessarily combined with large.

55 -

What then is the meaning of defusion of the instincts? We may put It differently and ask what becomes of the combination of the instincts when we no longer consider the id but the ego. the superego and their complementanty. Freud showed how the tormation of the narcissistic ego and of the superego both implied * "desexualization." A certain quantity of libido (Fros-energy) is neutralized, and becomes undifferentiated and freely mobile. The desexualization process would seem to be profoundly different in each case: in the first it is the equivalent of a process of ideals zotion, which can perhaps contiliute the power of the imagination in the ego; in the second it is the equivalent of identification, which would constitute the power of thought in the superego. Desexualization has two possible effects on the workings of the pleasure principle: either it introduces functional disturbances which affect the application of the principle, or else it promotes a subl-mation of the instincts whereby pleasure is transcended in favor of gratifications of a different kind. In any case it would be a mistake to view delusion in terms of invalidation of the pleasure principle, as though the combinations that are subject to it were destroyed in favor of the emergence of Eros and Thanatos in their pure form. Defusion, with respect to the ego and the superego, supply means the formation of this freely mobile energy within

the various combinations. The pleasure principle in itself is not in the least invalidated, however serious the disturbances which may a to the function responsible for its application. (Thus Freud those cases of traumatic neurosis where the dream function is most seriously perturbed.) Nor is the pleasure principle overtomed by the renunciations which reality imposes upon it, or by the spiritual extensions brought about by subtimation. We may the spiritual extensions brought about by subtimation. We may the renunciations that should be empirical pleasure principle and through under the sway of the empirical pleasure principle and the combinations that are subject to it in though the formulae governing the combinations may vary considerably.

Is there no other solution besides the functional disturbance a neurosis and the spiritual outlet of sub imation? Could there sun be a third alternative which would be related not to the funcmanal interdependence of the ego and the superego, but to the some tural split between them? And it not this the very alternative adjeaced by Freud under the name of perversion? It is remarkathe that the process of desexualization is even more pronounced than in neuron's and sublimation; it operates with extraordinary oldness; but it is accompanied by a resessalisation which does not in any way cancel out the desexualization, tince it operates a new dimension which is equally remote from functional disurbances and from substitutions: it is as if the desexual zed element were resexualized but nevertheress retained, in a different form, the original desexualization; the desexualized has become Itself the object of sexualization. This exprains why cordness the essential feature of the structure of perversion, it is prese both in the apathy of the sadist, where It figures as theory. and in the ideal of the masochist, where it figures is lantasy. The deeper the coldness of the desexualization, the more powerful and extensive the process of perverse resexualization; hence we can-

not define perversion in terms of a mere failure of integration. Sade tried to demonstrate that no passion, whether it be political ambition, avariciousness, etc., is free from "lust" - not that lust is their mainspring but rather that It arises at their culmination. when it becomes the agent of their instantaneous resexualization. (Juliette, when she discoursed on how to maximize the power of sadistic projection, began by giving the following advice: "For a whole fortoight abstain from all lustful behaviour; distract and entertain yourselves with other things, ...") Although the coldness of the masochist is totally different from the sadist's, the desexualization process in masochism is equally the precondition of instantaneous resexualization, as a result of which all the passions of man, whether they concern property, money, the State, etc., are transformed and put at the service of masochism. The crucial point is that resexualization takes place instantaneously, n a sort of leap. Here again, the pleasure principle is not overthrown, but retains its full empirical dominance. The sadist derives preasure from other people's pain, and the masochist from tuffering pain himself as a necessary precondition of pleasure. Nietzsche stated the essentially religious problem of the meaning of pain and gave it the only fitting answers if pain and suffering have any meaning, it must be that they are enjoyable to someone. From this viewpoint there are only three possibilities: the first, which is the "normal" one, is of a moral and sublime characters It states that pairs is pleasing to the gods who contemplate and watch over man; the other two are perverse and stare that pain is enjoyable either to the one who inflicts it of to the one who sufers it. It should be clear that the normal answer is the most fanastic, the most psychotic of the three, So far as the structure of perversion is concerned, given that the pleasure principle must retain its dominance here as elsewhere, we must ask what has happened to the combinations which are normally subject to the

with pir What is the significance of the resexualization, the trap? I when we became aware of the particular rote played by the funcon of rejecution to masochism no less than in sadism: it takes he form of quantitative accumulation and precipitation in sadism and qualitative suspense and "freezing" in masochism. In this copes, the manifest content of the perversion is table to obscure he deeper issues, for the apparent link of sadism with pain and the apparent link of masochism with pain are in fact subord-nate . the function of retteration, buil as defined by Sade is and stina shao e from the perpetual movement of raging molecules, the rames Imagined by Clairwil are so intended as to ensure perpera repercussions and liberate repetition from all constraints Again, In Saint-Fond's system, the value of punishment lies able y a its capacity for infinite reproduction through the agency of destructive motecules. In another context we noted that masociaistic pain depends entirely on the phenomenon of waiting and on the functions of repetition and reiterat on which characterthe waiting. This is the extential point poin only acquirer significonce to relocion to the forms of repetition which condition for use. Thes is pointed out by Klossowski, when he writes with reservace to the monotony of Sade: "The carnal act can only constitute a transgression if it is experienced as a spiritual event, but in order to apprehend its object it is necessary to circumscribe and reproduce that event in a resterated description of the carnal act. This reiterated description not only accounts for the transgression by. It is in itself a transgression of language by language." Or again when he emphasizes the role of repetition, in relation this time to masochism and the frozen scenes of masochism. "I feirer er string itself in order to recover itself in its fall, as if holding its breath in an instantaneous apprehension of its origin "81

Such a conclusion would nevertheless seem to be disappointing, insolar as it suggests that repetition can be reduced to a pleas-

trable experience. There is a profound mystery in the bis aget to Beneath the sound and turn of sadism and masochism the term bie force of repetition is at work. What is aftered here is the nor mat function of repetition in its relation to the pleasure prink parestead of repetition being experienced as a form of behavior related to a pleasure already obtained or anticipated, instead or repetition being governed by the idea of experiencing or wexperiencing pleasure, repetition runs wild and becomes independent of all previous pleasure. It has tisely become an idea or ideal. Pleasure is now a form of behavior related to repetition, act inspanying and following repetition, which has itsely become an analysis panying and following repetition, which has itsely become an

awesome, independent force. Pleasure and repetition have the exchanged roles, as a consequence of the instantaneous leap, than to say the twofold process of desexualization and resexualization. In between the two processes the Death Instinct seem about to speak, but because of the nature of the leap, which is instantaneous, it is always the pleasure principle that preva-There is a kind of mysticism in perversion; the greater the reminciation, the greater and the more secure the gains; we might an pure it to a "brack" rheorogy where pleasure ceases to motivate the will and it abjured, disavowed, "renounced," the better to be recovered as a reward or consequence, and as a law. The formula of perverse mysticism is coldness and comfort (the coldness of desexualization, on the one hand, and the comfort of resexuality tion, on the other, the latter being clearly illustrated by Sade's characters). As for the anchoring of sadism and masochism in pain, this cannot ready be understood so long as it is considered in isooffices: pain in this case has no sexual significance at all; on the contrary it represents a desexualization which makes repetition autonomous and gives it instantaneous sway over the pleasures of

por si rious link between pain and pleasure; the mystery I es in the desexualization process which consotidates repetition at the copy te pole to pleasure, and in the subsequent resexualization which makes the pleasure of repetition seeming y proceed from pain. In sadism no less than in masochism, there is no direct reasing to part or other should be regarded as an effect only.

resexual ration. Eros is desexualized and humiliated for the sake of a resexualized Thanaros. In sadism and masochism there is no

CHAPTER XI

Sadistic Superego and Masochistic Ego

we consider the psychoanalytic interpretation of the derivation a masochism from sadism (there being in this respect no great . Be rence between Freud's two interpretations, in the first the ex scence of primary masochism is implied, despite his assertion to the contrary; in the second Freud recognizes the existence of this primary masochism, but goes on so maintain that for a comr account of masochism we need the hypothesis of the turnmy around of sadism upon the subject), it would appear that the in 18th superego is singularly weak, while the masochist suffers more an overwhelming superego which causes sadism to be turned against the ego. Other psychoanalytic interpretations which do we link the process of turning around with the superego should revertheless be seen either as attempts to complement the Freudan theory, or as vaciants of it, insofer as they retain the general expothesis of a reversal of sadism and with it that of a sadomaso-A said entity. To simplify matters, we may therefore consider the beory which posits an original aggressive instinct followed by the to ming around of aggression upon the subject through the agency if the superego. The transformation into masochism would take place by a transfer of the aggressive component to the superego, which would then cause sadism to be turned around upon the ego. This is essentially the kind of etiology which leads to the assumption of a sadomasochistic entity. But the line of progresion is far from direct: it is broken at many points and maps the various symptoms very imperfectly.

. . .

The masochistic ego is only apparently crushed by the superego. What ensolence and humor, what irrepressible defiance and activate triumph lie hidden behind an ego that claims to be so weak. The weakness of the ego is a strategy, by which the masechist manipulates the woman into the ideal state for the performance of the role he has assigned to her. If the masochuse is accomin anything, at would be a superego and not an ego at all. In projecting the superego onto the beating woman, the masochist appears to externalize it merely in order to emphasize its dezisory nature and make it serve the ends of the triumphant egu. One could say almost the opposite of the sadist. he has a powerful and overwhelming superego and nothing else. The sadist's superego s to strong that he has become identified with it, he is his own superego and can only find an ego in the external world. What normally conters a moral character on the superego is the internal and complementary ego upon which it exerts its severity, and equally the maternal element which fosters the close interaction between ego and superego. But when the superego runs wild, expending the ego along with the mother-image, then its fundamental immorality exhibits itself as sadism. The ultimate vict ms of the sadist are the mother and the egn. His ego exists only in the external world: this is the fundamental significance of sadistic apathy. The sodiet has no other ego than that of his victime; he is thus monstrously reduced to a pure superego which exercises its cruelty to the fullest extent and instantaneously recovers its full sexuality as soon as it diverts its power outward. The fact that the sadis, has no other ego than that of his victims explains the appurent paradox of sadism, its pseudomasochism. The liberrine enjoys

in the ling the pain he inflicts upon others, when the destructive made to a state of the external victim. The trong of sadism ties in the two-laid operation whereby he necessarily projects his dissolved egularward and as a result experienced what is outside him as his only ego. There is no real unity with masochism here, nor any common cause, but a process which is quite specific to rad smill pseudomasochism which is entirely and exclusively sadistic and which is only apparently and crudely samilar to masochism. Irong a in fact the operation of an overbearing superego, the art of applitude or negating the ego, with all its sadistic consequences.

is order to interpret masochism it is not sufficient to reverse as pattern obtaining in sidism. It is true that in masochism the ego triumphs and the superego can only appear from outside, in the form of the torturess. But there are significant differences: In the first place the superego is not negated as the ego is in the advitic operation; the superego retains in appearance its power pass judgment. Furthermore, the more power it retains, the more this power appears decisory, a mere disguise for something as the beating woman embodies the superego bullonly in an utterly deretory capacity, as one might display the bide of an animal or a trophy after the hunt. For in reality the superego is had - not, however, as the result of an active negation but of a disavowal." The beating woman represents the superego superficially and in the external world, and she also transforms the superego into the recipient of the beating, the essential vict mi-This explains the conspiracy of the mother-figure and the ego against the father's likeness. The father's likeness represents both genttal sexuality and the superego at an agent of repression: the one is expelled with the other. Therein lies the humor which is not merely the opposite of frony but has its own autonomous function. Humor is the triumph of the ego over the superego, to

which it seems to say. "You see, whatever you do, you are alread dead; you only exist as a caricature; the woman who beats me supposedly stands for you, and vet it is in fact you yourself was, are being beaten in me. .. I disavow you since you negate your self." The ego triumphs, and asserts its autonomy in pain, is parthenogenetic rebirth from pain, pain being experienced as inflicted upon the superego. We do not believe, as Freud did, that humor is the expression of a strong superego. Freud recognizer, that humor inevitably brings about a secondary gain for the $e_{\rm E}$ and apoke of the defiance and invulnerability of the ego and or the triumph of narcissism, with the complicity of the superego But the ego-gain is not "secondary," as Freud thought, but pr many or essential. We should be falling into the trap of humor. we were to take literally the pleture it gives of the superego, for this picture is intended to laugh away and disavow the supercathe very prohibitions of the superego becoming the precondition for obtaining the forbidden pleasure. Humor is the operation of a triumphant ego, the art of deflecting and desayowing the superego, with all his masochistic consequences. Thus there is a preudosadism in masochlism, just as there is a pseudomasoch in in tadism. This specifically masochistic sadism, which attacks the superego in the ego and outside it is not in any way related to the sad am of the sad at

There is a progression in sadism from the negative to negative that is, from the negative as a partial process of destruction end-lessey resterated, to negation as an absolute idea of reason. It is indeed the vicissitudes of the superego in sadism which account for this progression. Insofar as the sadistic superego expels the ego and projects it into its victims, it is always faced with the task of destroying something outside itself again and again insofar as it specifies or determines a pecufiar "ego-ideal" — identification with its victims — it must add up and totalize all the par-

pure negation which constitutes the cold purity of thought in the superego. Thus the superego represents the apex of the desextral at ion process specific to sadism: the operation of totalizing values a neutral or displaceable energy from the combinations of which the negative only features as partial process. But at the assumation of desexualization a total resexualization takes place, which done bears on the neutral end, which the speculative ampetus, and the speculative speeches and an entires which embody this energy are not extraneous combinations of Sade's novels, but the essential components of the main taneous operation on which the whole of sadism is based in essential operation of sadism is the sexualization of thought and of the speculative process as such insofar as these are the product of the superego.

In masochism we find a progression from d savowa, to susex use, from disavowal as a process of liberation from the pressures the superego to suspense as incurration of the idea. Distrowaa qualitative process that transfers to the oral mother the posse soon and privileges of the phalius. Suspense points to the new are of the ego and to the ideal of rebirth through the agency the maternal phallus. From the interplay of disavova, and susprince there arises in the ego a qualitative re-a. on of imagination, h is very different from the quantitative relation of thought or the superego. Disavowal is a reaction of the smagmation, as in auton is an operation of the intellect or of thought. Disavowas sillenges the superego and entrints the mother with the power to give birth to an "ideal ego" which is pure, autonomous and dependent of the superego. The process of disavowal is I nked o castration not contingently but essentially and originally; the expression of fetishistic disavoval. "No, the mother does not tack phases is not one particular form of disayowa, among others,

but formulates the very principle from which the other man to. tarions of disavowal derive, namely the abolition of the father and the rejection of sexuality. Nor is disavowal in general just a form magination; it is nothing less than the foundation of magination. which suspends reality and establishes the ideal in the suspende world. Disavowal and suspense are thus the very eisence of tracer anation, and determine a specific organization deal. Hence desicowar shorts on regarding as the sorter of desexualization parts. at to masochism. The maternal phallus does not have a sexual that actes, but is rather the ideal organ of a neotral energy who is as its turn generates the ideal ego of parthenogenetic rebirth, the "new Man devoid of sexual love." It is because of this split in the ego of the masochist and in view of the superpersonal elem in which produces it, that we were able earlier to speak of the looks. tonal element in masochism while nevertheless maintaining the primacy of the ego. But even as masochistic desexualization reaches les highest point des comizantes proce de sins prancon l' in the narcissistic ego, which comemplates it in age in the all ego through the agency of the oral mother. The cold purity thought in sadism stands in contrast to the iciness of imagina nor in masochtsm. As Resk indicated, it is fantasy which must be regarded as the primary theater of masnelium. In sadism the dual process of desexualization and resexualization manifests strelf in thought and finds expression in the demonstrative thrust to may othism, on the other hand, the twofold process manifests itself in the imagination and finds expression in the dialectical movement the dialectical element is in the relation between the narcissists ego and the ideal ego, this relation itself being conditioned by the mage of the mother, which introduces the revibical dimensions

The etrological failacty of the unity of sadism and masochi in may perhaps be due to an erroneous interpretation of the nature of the ego and the superego and of their interrelations. The

apperego is in no way an agency that turns sadism into maso-113. 1 The structure of the superego fails essentially within sadsas. Desexualization or even defusion are not by any means modes of gransition (as implied by the sequence of a sadism of the egopaliowed by desexualization in the superego, followed in turn by reas sualization in the masochistic ego). Sadism and masochism with possess their integral and particular form of desexualization and resexualization. Their respective connections with pain are a function of formal conditions which are entirely different in each case. Nor can it be said that the Death Instinct ensures the unity and intercommunication of the two perversions. It is e doubtedly the common most in which both sad sm and masa hism present themselves, but it remains external and transadent to them, a limiting agency which can never be given in sperience. However, while the Death Instinct is never actually g en." it becomes an object for thought in the superego in sadand for the amagination in the ego in masochism. This cor-· » ads to Freud's observation that It is only possible to speak A a Death Instinct in speculative or in mythical terms. With signed to the Death Instinct sad am and masoch smare differensaid in every possible ways they have intrinsically deferent strucas and are not functionally related; they cannot be transformed ito each other. In short, the true nature of sadism and of mas- nism is revealed not in any supposed genetic derivation but in the structural ego-superego split, which occurs differently in each or them. Daniel Lagache recently emphasized the possibility of such a span between the ego and the superego, he distinguishes and even contrasts the nontininic ego-ideal ego system and the reporge-ego ideal system. Either the ego undertakes a mythical n, a atron of idealization, in which the mother-image serves as a mirror to reflect and even produce the "ideal ego" as a narcissistic ideal of omnipotence, or else it launches into speculative

which in turn appoints an "ego-ideal" as an ideal of authorit, which brings into play forces from outside the subject's nar. s., tie ego. Go decarse, the polarity of ego and superego, ideal ego and ego ideal and the types of desexualization corresponding to them may occur together in a structural whole, where they glarise not only to a great variety of forms of sublimation, but equally to the most serious functional disturbances (thus Lagache an interpret maria in terms of the functional dominance of the superego-ego ideal). But even more significant is the possibil that these two poles of desexualization should operate within a differentiated or dissociated structures of perversions and bring about a perverse resexualization which confers upon each a complete structural self-sufficiency.

Masochism is a story that relates how the superego was destroyed and by whom, and what was the sequel to this destruction. Sometimes the story is misunderstood and one is led to think that the superego triumphs at the very point when it is dying. This is the danger in any story, with its unavoidable "gaps." The masochist is saying, with all the weight of his symptoms and his familiasies. "Once upon a time there were three women. " He tells of the war they wage on one another, resulting in the triumph of the oral mother. He introduces himself into this age-old story by means of a very specific act, the instrument of which is the modem contract - with the most curious consequences, for he abjures the father's likeness and the sexuality which it confers, and at the same time challenges the father-image as the repressive authorry which regulates this sexuality and which is constitutive of the superego. In opposition to the institutional superego be now establishes the contractual partnership between the ego and the

oza mother. Intermediate between the first mother and the third morber, or lover, the oral mother functions as an image of death, holding up to the ego the cold mirror of its twofold rejection But death can only be imagined as a second birth, a parthenogenesis from which the ego reemerges, liberated from the superego-35 well as from sexuality. The reflection of the ego in and through aga h produces the ideal ego in the conditions of independence and autonomy which obtain in masochism. The narcissistic egocontemplates the ideal ego in the maternal mirror of death; such , the story begun by Cain with the aid of Eve, continued by Chost with the aid of the Virgin Mary, and revived by Sabattai Zwi with the help of Mirum, and such is the masoch site visionars, with his prodigious vision of "the death of God" But the narcissistic ego benefits from this split in that it becomes resexualized in proportion as the social ego becomes desexusized. This is why the most extreme punishments and the most intense pains acquire in this context such a very peculiar eroric function in relat in the death mag. They represe a on the include on the test ego, the desexualization process which aberates a both from the superego and from the father's I keness, and on the other hand in the narcuristic ego, the resexualization that allows it to enjoy the pleasures that the superego forbids

Sadism likewise tells a story. It relates how the ego. In an entirely different context and in a different struggle, is heaten and expelled, how the unrestrained superego assumes an exclusive tole, modeled on an inflated conception of the father's rose — the mother and the ego becoming its choice victims. Desexual zation, now represented by the superego, ceases to be of a moral or moralizing character, since it is no longer directed upon an inner ego but its turned outward, upon external victims who take on the quality of the rejected ego. The Death Instinct now assumes the character of a Thought of a fearful nature, an idea of

demonstrative reason, and resexualization bears on the "ego ideal" of the sadistic "thinker," who thus turns out to be in every way the opposite of the masochistic visionary. Indeed, he recounts a different story altogether.

We have merely been trying to demonstrate the followings in is always possible to speak of violence and cruelty in sexual behavfor and to show that these phenomena can be combined with sexuality in different ways; it is always possible, furthermore, to contrive means of passing from one combination to another. It is assumed that the some person enjoys both undergoing pain and inflicting it, and imaginary points of turning back and turning around are accordingly set up and applied to an extensive and illdefined whole. On the strength of transformist presuppositions. the unity of sadism and masochism is simply taken for granted Our intention has been to show that this approach only leads to very crude and ill-differentiated concepts. In order to prove the unity of sadism and masochism one proceeds as follows. From the point of view of etiology, sadism and masochism are each deprived. of some of their components in order to ensure that the two types. of perversion can transform into each other (thus the superego, which is an essential component of sadism, is actually treated as the point where sadism reverses into masochisms similarly for the ego, which is an essential component of masochism). From the symptomatological viewpoint, crude common symptoms, vaguely analogous manifestations and approximate "councidences" are treated as proof of the existence of a sadomasochistic entity (for example, the "masochism" of the sadist and the "sadism" of the masochist). And yet no doctor would treat a fever as though it were a definite symptom of a specific disease; he views it rather as an indeterminate syndrome common to a number of possible diseases. The same is true of sadomasochism it is a syndrome of perversion in general which must be broken down to make way

for a differential diagnosis. The belief in a sadomasochistic entity is not really grounded in genuine psychoanalytic thinking but in pre-Freudian thinking which relied on hasty assimilations and faulty etiological interpretations that psychoanalysis merely helped to make more convincing, instead of questioning their reality.

This is why it is necessary to read Masoch. His work has suftered from unfair neglect, when we consider that Sade has been the object of such penetrating studies both in the field of literare criticism and in that of psychoanalytic interpretation, to the benefit of both. But it would be equally untain to read Masoch with Sade in mind, and with the intention of finding in his work a proof or verification that sadism effectively reverses into maspeliism, even if masochism in turn evolves toward a form of sadism. The genius of Sade and that of Masoch are poles apart; their worlds do not communicate, and as novelists their techniques are totally different. Sade expresses himself in a form which combines obscenity in description with rigor and apathy in demonstration, while the art of Masoch consists in multiplying the disavowals in order to create the coldness of aesthetic suspense. There is no reason to suppose that Masoch would suffer from such a confrontation: Influenced by his Slavic background and by German. Romantlehm, Masoch makes use of all the resources of fantasy and suspense rather than of the romantic dream. By his techniques slone he is a great writer; by his use of folklore he manages to tap the forces of the myth, just as Sade was able to achieve the full power of demonstration by his use of descriptions. The fact that their names have been linked with two basic perversions should remind us that diseases are named after their symptoms rather than after their causes. Etiology, which is the scientific or experimental side of medicine, must be subordinated to symptomatology, which is its literary, artistic aspect. Only on this condition can we avoid splitting the semiological unity of a disturbance, or uniting very different disturbances under a misbegotten name, in a whole arbitrarily defined by nonspecific causes.

Sadomasochism is one of these misbegotten names, a semiological howler. We found in every case that what appeared to be a common "sign" linking the two perversions together turned out on investigation to be in the nature of a mere syndrome which could be further broken down into irreducibly specific symptoms. of the one or the other perversion. Let us now try to summarize the results of our inquiry. (1) Sadism is speculative-demonstrative, masochism dialectical-imaginative; (2) sadism operates with the negative and pure negation, masochism with disavowal and suspension: (3) sadism operates by means of quantitative retieration, masochism by means of qualitative suspense; (4) there is a masochism specific to the sadist and equally a sadism specific to the masochist, the one never combining with the other; (5) sadism negates the mother and inflates the father, masochism disavows the mother and abolishes the father; (6) the role and significance of the fetish, and the function of the fantasy are totally different in each case; (7) there is an aestheticism in masochism, while sadism is hostile to the pesthetic attitude; (8) sadism is institutional, masochism contractual; (9) in sadism the superego and the process of identification play the primary role, masochism gives primany to the ego and to the process of Idealization; (10) sadism and masochism exhibit totally different forms of desexualization and resexualization; (1) finally, summing up all these differences, there is the most radical difference between sadistic aportly and masochistic coldness.

These eleven propositions taken rogether should account not only for the differences between sadism and masochism, but equally for the differences in the literary techniques and in the art of Sade and Masoch.

Notes

- Krafti-Floor himself points out the extremes of "passive flageliation" independently from massochism. Cf. Psychopothio Sexualis (revised by Moll, 1963).
- Georges Bataille, Esoneiten, Engl. rt. M. Dalwood (Calderbooks, 1965), pp. 187, 188, 189.
 - 3. Krafft-Ebing, Psychopathia Servalii, pp. 208-9.
 - 4. Cf. Approdix III.
- 5. To cut off a pigtail would not seem in this instance to imply any heatifully toward the foresh; it is merely the necessary condition of its constitution, We cannot allude to hair despoilers without drawing attention to a psychiatric problem of historical importance. Kraffi-Lising's Psychopothia Security, recited by Moll, is a compendium of cases of the most abominable pervensions for the use of doctors and juniors, as the subside indicates. Assault, crime, bestiality, threshowelling, occupialita, etc., are all treated with the appropriate scientific detachment, without passion or value-judgment. With case 196, however, the cone changes abrupally: "a dangerous pigtail fetislist was spreading assisty in flexing...." And this comment follows: "These people are so dangerous that they ought definitely to be subject to long-term confinement in an asylum until their eventual recovery. They do not by any means deserve angualisted leniency.... When I chink of the immense sorrow caused to a family in which a young girl is thus deprived of her beautiful hair, I find it quite impossible to understand that such people are not confined indefinitely in an asy-

lum.... Let us hope that the new penal law well remedy this cituation." Such an indignant explosion against a relatively harmless perversion seems to indicate that powerful personal mortrations by behind the author's departure from his usual actentific objectivity. When he reached case 396, the psychiatrist allowed his feelings to get the better of him — het this be a feston to us all.

- 6. Letter to his brother Charles on 5th January 1869 (quoted by Wanda).
- Maurice Blanchon, Lauretemont et Sade (Minuit, Collection "Arguments," 1963), p. 40.
- Three Enays on the Theory of Sexuality. The Complete Psychological Works (Hogarth, 1955-64), Vol. VII. p. 159.
- "The instincts and their vicissitudes," in Papers on Metapsychology, Col. locust Papers (1946), Vol. IV. p. 71.
 - 10. Cf. Appendix I.
- Cl. Bacholen, Dar Materrecht (1861). An example of a work owing much to Bacholen's ideas is the excellent book t'iomistion sexuelle et l'évolution religioure, by Pierre Gordon (P.U.E., 1946).
 - 12. Cl. Appendix I.
 - 13. E. Bergler, The Basic Neurosis (New York: Grune, 1949).
- Therefore Reils, Masseham in Six and Seriety, Engl. in: MAI. Reigel and G.M. Kurth (Grove Press, 1962), pp. 21, 209.
- Pierre Klossowski, "Elements d'une éxude psychanalytique sur le Marquis de Sadu," Revue de Psychanolyse, 1934.
- 16. An illustration of the difference in nature between the two prostingtion fantasies, the sadistic and the musochartic, may be found in Klussowski's tale Le Sooffleur: cl. the contrast between "L'Hôtel de Longchamp" and "les fots de l'hospiralies."
- 17. The author's one of "the symbolic (order)" or (the order of) the real should be understood in the context of the fundamental distinction established by Jacques Lacan between three "orders" or dimensions: the Symbolic, the Imaginary and the Real. (Translators' note.)
- 16. Cf. Jacques Lacan, In Psychonolyse, I. pp. 48 ff. As defined by Lucan, the tree-hanism of repudiation or foreclosure. Viewerlang, operates in the symmetry.

bolic dimension and in countection with the father, more specifically "the name of the father." Lacan appears to look upon this as a primary and irreducible operation which is independent of all maternal influences the distortion of the mother's anie would on the countary arise of a result of the symbolic "repudiation" of the father. Cf. however the article by a follower of Lacan, Pieta Aulagnier, "Remarques see to structure psychotique," La Psichanalyse, VIII, which would seem to resture to some extent to the mother an active role as symbolic agent.

- 19, Relk, Mousthton, p. 18.
- 20. Cf. Appendix III.
- 21. Maurice Blanchot, Lautelamont et Sade, p. 35.
- 27: Reik, Manchiam, pp. 44-91.
- 25. Cf. Appendix II.
- 14. Pogisme form of masochism where the subject imagines he is a pagebox attending the woman.
 - 25: This is the essential thesis of Innibations Republicaines.
- On the clusive character of the object of the law, cf. J. Lacan's commentaries relating both to Kant and to Sade: Kont over Sade (Cratique, 1963).
- Civilination and its Discontents, Complete Psychological Works, Vol. XXI, pp. 125, 128.
- 28. Theodore Reik, Masschian. "The masschist exhibits the punishment but also its failure. He shows his submission certainly, but he also shows his submission certainly, but he also shows his submissible rebellion, demonstrating that he gains pleasure despite the disconsion...) He cannot be broken from outside. He has an inexhaustible capacity for taking a beating and yet knows unconsciously he is not licked" (pp. 145, 163).
 - 29. Revue Bleuc, 1888.
- 30. On the link between agrarian and incestumos themes and the role of the plough, cl. Salvador Dali's brilliant text in Mrske tragique de l'Angélus de Miller, Pagrett.
- 31. Masock's tale is a relatively accurate account of the life of Sabartai Zwi, Another account may be found in Grace. History of the Jews, where the hero's historical importance is emphasized.
 - 12. Letter to his brother Charles on January 8, 1869.

- 13. B. Grunberger, in "Esquisse d'une théorie psychodynamique du masochisme," Revue Française de Psychonolyse (1954), disagrees with Oedipal interpretations of masochism, but he replaces the "murder of the Oedipal father" by a pre-genital wish to castrate the father, regarded as the true source of masoochism. In any case, he does not accept the maternal-oral etiology.
 - 34. "The instincts and their vicinsitudes" in Papers on Metapsychologic
- This second explanation, which was offered by Grunberger, traces massochism back to a pre-Dedigal source.
- 16. These three aspects are formally distinguished in an article written in 1924, "The economic problem of manuchism," but they are already indicated in the first interpretation.
 - 37. Reik, p. 186.
- Mordl, The Man without Qualities. (Translator's note: this passage does not never to be included in the English translation of this work.)
- Klossowski, Un si funesse désir (N.R.F.), p. 127, and La révolution de l'Edit de Nootes (Minus), p. 15.
- 40. Jobet and their Relation to the Unconscious, Complete Psychological Works, Vol. VIII.
- Cl. Doniel Lagache, "La psychanalyse et la structure de la personalité,"
 Lo Psychanolyse, 6, pp. 36-47.